

SOLVENCY AND FINANCIAL CONDITION REPORT

CREDIT AGRICOLE LIFE INSURANCE S.M.S.A
APRIL 2026

Summary

A. BUSINESS AND PERFORMANCE	7
A.1 Business.....	7
A.1.1 Significant events of the year, subsequent events and outlook	8
A.2 Underwriting Performance	8
A.3 Investment Performance.....	9
A.4 Performance of other activities.....	9
A.5 Any other information	9
B. SYSTEM OF GOVERNANCE	10
B.1 General information on the system of governance	10
B.1.1 Organizational structure	10
B.1.2 Roles and responsibilities.....	10
B.1.3 Material changes in the governance during the year	15
B.1.4 Remuneration policy.....	15
B.1.5 Material transactions with persons of significant influence	16
B.1.6 Material transactions with related parties	16
B.2 Fit and proper requirements.....	17
B.2.1 Regulatory requirements	17
B.2.2 Arrangements for assessing fitness	18
B.2.3 Arrangements for assessing appropriateness.....	19
B.3 Risk management system including the own risk and solvency assessment	19
B.3.1 Risk management framework.....	19
B.3.2 Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)	20
B.4 Internal control system	20
B.5 Internal audit function	21
B.5.1 General principles	21
B.5.2 Role of the Internal Audit function	22
B.6 Actuarial function	22
B.6.1 Actuarial work during the year.....	23
B.7 Outsourcing	23
B.7.1 General principles and objective of the Group's outsourcing policy	23
B.7.2 Scope	23

B.7.3	Group–Company relations	24
B.7.4	Critical or important outsourced activities	24
B.8	Any other information	24
C.	RISK PROFILE	25
C.1	Underwriting risk	25
C.1.1	Exposure to underwriting risk	26
C.1.2	Principal risk management/mitigation techniques	26
C.1.3	Principal type of concentration	26
C.1.4	Sensitivity	27
C.2	Market risk	27
C.2.1	Risk Exposure	27
C.2.2	Principal risk management/mitigation techniques	27
C.2.3	Concentration	28
C.2.4	Sensitivity	29
C.3	Credit risk	29
C.3.1	Risk exposure	29
C.3.2	Principal risk management/mitigation techniques	29
C.3.3	Concentration	30
C.4	Liquidity risk	30
C.4.1	Risk exposure	30
C.4.2	Principal risk management/mitigation techniques	30
C.4.3	Sensitivity	30
C.5	Operational risk	30
C.5.1	Risk exposure	30
C.5.2	Principal risk management/mitigation techniques	31
C.5.3	Sensitivity	31
C.6	Other material risks	31
C.6.1	Risk exposure	31
C.6.2	Principal risk management/mitigation techniques	32
C.7	Any other information	32
D.	VALUATION FOR SOLVENCY PURPOSES	33
D.1	Assets	34
D.1.1	Intangible assets and deferred expenses	35
D.1.2	Property, plant and equipment	35

D.1.3	Financial instruments	35
D.1.4	Technical provisions ceded	35
D.1.5	Deferred taxes	35
D.1.6	Cash and cash equivalents	36
D.2	Technical provisions	37
D.2.1	Summary of technical provisions.....	37
D.2.2	Valuation principles	38
D.2.3	Segmentation	38
D.2.4	Initial recognition.....	38
D.2.5	General valuation principles	38
D.2.6	Risk margin.....	40
D.3	Other Liabilities.....	41
D.3.1	Provisions and contingent liabilities	41
D.3.2	Employee benefit obligations	41
D.3.3	Financial liabilities	42
D.3.4	Other liabilities	42
D.3.5	Alternative methods for valuation	42
D.3.6	Any other information	42
E.	CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	43
E.1	Own funds	43
E.1.1	Capital management policy	43
E.1.2	Available own funds	44
E.1.3	Eligible own funds	44
E.2	Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement	44
E.2.1	Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR).....	44
E.2.2	Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR)	45
E.3	Use of the duration-based equity risk sub-module in the calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement.....	45
E.4	Differences between the standard formula and any internal model used	45
E.5	Non-compliance with the Minimum Capital Requirement and non-compliance with the Solvency Capital Requirement.....	45
E.6	Any other information	45
F.	APPENDIX: QUANTITATIVE REPORTING TEMPLATES 2025.....	49

Introduction

This report encompasses such information which is necessary for the purpose of supervision and as such it has been prepared in accordance with the Commission Delegated Regulations (EU) 2015/35 and 2023/895, Greek Law 4364/05.02.2016 in conjunction with Decision of Bank of Greece 77/12.2.2016. The structure of the report is determined in Annex XX of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35 and is reflected in Chapters A to E.

Since the enforcement of Solvency II regulatory framework on 1st January 2016 and especially within the Pillar 3 guidelines related to the notification of information to public, this report of Credit Agricole Life Insurance S.M.S.A, a 100% subsidiary of Credit Agricole Assurances S.A., refers to the year 2025. This report as well as the annual quantitative reporting templates (QRT) attached, present and provide information on the activity and performance of the Company, the appropriate governance system, gap analysis valuation between the statutory and the Solvency II accounts, as well as assessment of the solvency position of the Company.

This report has been presented and approved by the Board of Directors of the Company on April 7th, 2026. Nevertheless, the Occupational and Private Insurance Supervision Directorate of the Bank of Greece (previous Department of Private Insurance Supervision-DPIS), as the Supervisory Authority, in accordance with article 42 par. 3 of Law 4364/2016, may request the modification or revision of the published Company report or the publication of additional information, as well as other actions taken by the management.

Summary

- **Business and Performance**

The Company has been in a run-off situation since the beginning of 2014. It manages an existing portfolio which consists of Life Insurance business lines exclusively distributed in Greece such as: Life insurance with profit Participation, Unit Linked and Other Life which includes protection products (individual and group). Finally, the Company recorded € 3,347 K in written premiums for 2025 in relation to € 4,593 K in 2024, which reflects the run-off business operation.

- **System of Governance**

The Company has a governance system in line with the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group (herein “the Group”) guidelines but tailored to the Company’s business configuration and needs to comply with the Solvency II requirements in the most effective way.

In the heart of this system, the Board of Directors defines the strategic orientations of the Company’s activity, monitors, ensures their implementation and assumes responsibility at local level for compliance with the legal, regulatory and administrative requirements adopted under Solvency II. The Board of Directors closely relates with General management, the management body and the people responsible for the four key functions. This system, although restricted within the run-off configuration, still contributes to the realization of the current strategic objectives of the Company and guarantees the effective management of their risks in relation to their nature, scope and complexity.

- **Risk Profile**

The basic risk exposure of the Company refers to the underwriting and market risks due to its predominant business activity in life insurance with profit participation and the other life insurance portfolio including Term Life Insurance and Credit Life. Given its risk profile, the Company is using the standard formula in the calculation of its solvency capital requirements. For the risks which are not captured by the standard formula, an appropriate management mechanism is in place including its identification and monitoring as well as an alert system to allow for the prompt activation of the governance system for its mitigation if there is material deviation from its set up risk tolerance levels.

Regarding the Company's risk profile for the years 2025 compared to 2024, we observe a slight increase in the proportion of insurance risk (from 67% to 69%) compared to market risk (changed from 26% to 23%) due to the greater weight of the risk of expenses which remain inelastic compared to the investment portfolio, which is reduced as a result of the Company's operating status in managing the existing portfolio and the execution of the plan to accelerate the reduction of the portfolio of contracts.

- **Valuation for Solvency Purposes**

The Company values its assets and liabilities and calculates technical provisions in accordance with Chapter F sections 1 and 2 of Law 4364/2016 and Chapter VI sections 1 and 2 of Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. For assets and liabilities measured at fair value in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), no further adjustments will be made to their valuation in accordance with the provisions of Solvency II. For the remaining assets and liabilities, as well as for technical provisions, the necessary adjustments will be made to measure the remaining assets and liabilities at fair value and the technical provisions in accordance with the valuation rules for technical provisions.

In 2025, the Company's assets amount to € 68,686 K, including € 66,146 K from the investment portfolio, while the liabilities amount to € 60,774 K, of which the technical provisions of the insurance portfolio amount to € 59,740 K. The changes compared to 2024 (assets at € 88,906 K and liabilities at € 80,331 K) are the result of the company's operational status in managing the existing portfolio (run-off).

- **Capital Management**

The Company has a capital management policy in place. It sets out the method of managing, monitoring and overseeing its own funds.

The Company covers its regulatory capital requirements wholly through Tier 1 capital. As of 31st December 2025, the available capital amounts to € 7,912 K (€ 8,574 K in 2024) and the capital requirement (SCR) of € 3,632 K (€ 4,960 K in 2024). No transitional measure has been adopted by the Company to calculate its solvency ratio except for the Volatility Adjustment as described below in section D.2.5.9. At the end of 2025, the solvency ratio of the company is at 218% higher than year end 2024 (173%), mainly as the result of the decrease on the SCR due to impact of the run-off and the expenses release and rather stable Own Funds, keeping, the solvency ratio strongly above the risk appetite framework of the relevant Company policy. In addition, the Minimum Capital Requirements ratio is still at strong high levels at 198% in 2025, but slightly lower than 2024 (214%) as the result of the stable minimum level of the MCR (€ 4,000 K Euro) in relation to the slow decrease of the own funds as explained above.

A. BUSINESS AND PERFORMANCE


A.1 Business

The Company is a Greek Single Member Société Anonyme with share capital of €14.100 K, which operates in the life insurance business exclusively in Greece. The Company's registered office is in Athens, Attica Area in the address 25, Filellinon Street, PC 105 57.

The statutory audit of the Company financial statements as of 31st December 2025 is being currently conducted by Deloitte, with registered offices at 3a Fragkokklisias & Granikou str., Marousi, Attika, 15125 but not finalized at the date of the validation of this report.

The Company was established in September 2001 and initially incorporated under the corporate name Emporiki Life Insurance Company with the distinctive title “Emporiki Life”, constituting a joint venture between the former Emporiki Bank and Predica (Life insurance company of Credit Agricole Assurances S.A.) each of which held 50% of the original shareholder capital. Since 2010, Credit Agricole Assurances S.A., a member of the Credit Agricole S.A. Group, has been the sole shareholder of the Company holding the 100% of shares and the name has been changed to Credit Agricole Life Insurance Company with the distinctive “Credit Agricole Life”.

Credit Agricole Assurances is a 100% subsidiary of Credit Agricole S.A. based in France and is fully consolidated in the financial statements of Credit Agricole S.A. The legal structure of the Credit Agricole S.A. Group and the Credit Agricole Assurances Group is presented below.



The Company, since its inception, has been operating in a bancassurance model, producing insurance products which are distributed exclusively through the branch network of the former Emporiki Bank (now Alpha Bank), providing mainly integrated savings and investment solutions for individuals, protection products for family & professionals on individual basis as well as credit life insurance coverage on group basis (mortgage loans protection).

The Company had entered in late 2013 in a transitional stage following the merger through acquisition of its unique distribution channel, Emporiki Bank, by a different banking institution in Greece, Alpha

Bank. This resulted in the cancellation of the distribution agreement at the beginning of 2014 while following the pausing of the discussions with Alpha Bank for its renewal in mid-2014 concerning the distribution of new business. The Company has been operating since then on a run-off basis as validated by its Board of Directors and will continue to operate under this status in the long run under the management of CAA Group.

As already reported last year, the Company executed again in 2025 the strategy to expedite the run-off process through an incentive plan for clients in savings with profit participation policies, aimed at accelerating the reduction of the portfolio's duration through early redemptions. The execution of the two full-scale campaigns had resulted in a total average exceeding 50% success rate which was the primary target. This year, the Acceleration Plan had two extension phases: in Q1, an extension of the campaign launched in 2024, and in Q4, a recap campaign which has been concluded in January 2026. For the next year 2026 as already budgeted in the MTP exercise, the Company has not planned to execute any further acceleration campaign, operating again in a long-term run-off basis, while at the same time actively seeking a feasible solution to exit the country within a timely manner.

A.1.1 Significant events of the year, subsequent events and outlook

The Company has concluded the accelerated run-off strategic plan in 2025 reaching the target of 50% success rate set in its budget exercise. Going forward, it has reassumed the long-term run-off operational mode, while seeking appropriate solutions to eliminate the group's presence in the country within a foreseeable time horizon.

A.2 Underwriting Performance

All business has been operated in Greece.

The underwriting performance is based on segmentation by main "Lines of Business" (LoB) according to Solvency II and does not consider the financial products of which the performance will be developed in the dedicated section A3. Investments' performance. The accounting data presented below under IFRS are unaudited since the statutory audit process is still on-going.

Below we present the performance of the portfolio per LoB between 2025 and 2024:

FY 2025 (K Euro)	Life Insurance with profit participation				Other LoBs	Total
	Unit Linked	Other Life	Other LoBs	Total		
Written premiums	2.114	-	2	1.235	-	3.347
Earned premiums	2.118	-	2	1.236	-	3.353
Claims incurred	17.185	22	389	-	-	17.596
Expenses incurred	2.150	147	1.151	-	-	3.447
Ceded reinsurance	0	-	1.426	-	-	1.426

FY 2024(K Euro)	Life Insurance with profit participation				Other LoBs	Total
	Unit Linked	Other Life	Other LoBs	Total		
Written premiums	3.143	7	1.442	-	-	4.593
Earned premiums	3.148	7	1.442	-	-	4.598
Claims incurred	25.454	106	500	-	-	26.060
Expenses incurred	1.876	187	1.497	-	-	3.560
Ceded reinsurance	2	-	1.569	-	-	1.571

The Company manages an existing portfolio which consists of Life Insurance business lines exclusively distributed in Greece such as: Life insurance with profit Participation, Unit Linked and Other Life which includes Protection products (individual and group). Finally, the Company continues to report a decreasing level of written premiums at end 2025 compared with the previous year as the Company manages its activities on a run-off basis.

Unlike the previous year's trend of materially higher outflows driven by the Acceleration Plan campaigns, the reduction in business outflows reflects lower execution in 2025, with no full campaigns—only review/recap campaigns as well as the maturity of policies within the run-off operational status.

A.3 Investment Performance

The year 2025 confirmed entry into a more unstable environment characterized by rising economic, geopolitical, and commercial risks, leading to a sustained reassessment of risk premiums, particularly in bond markets. While 2025 began in an accommodative monetary context, implemented previously to consolidate growth following the disinflation phase, it gradually revealed growing divergence between central banks, reflecting heterogeneous dynamics of inflation, potential growth, and fiscal sustainability. These gaps suggest more divergent monetary trajectories on the horizon for 2026. Finally, 2025 was characterized by marked regional divergences, contrasting a still robust American economy but showing some signs of weakening at year-end, a vulnerable but resilient Europe (although significant disparities remain), emerging countries greatly influenced by dollar weakness, and Japan facing simultaneous monetary normalization and elevated public debt levels.

At the end of December 2025, financial income generated by the Company's portfolio amounts to € 1,998K. The table below illustrates the income from investments net of expenses in IFRS for years 2025 and 2024:

(K€)	2025	2024
Bonds, structured products and securitizations	1.998	2.418
Shares	0	0
Investment funds	99	336
Real Estate	0	0
Cash and cash equivalents	0	0
Investment management fees	-99	-130
TOTAL	1.998	2.624

A.4 Performance of other activities

There are no other revenues and in terms of charges the Company mainly uses a real estate rental contract for its operating property to a total amount of annual rents in 2025 of € 73K, which is not regarded as significant in relation to the Company's activity.

A.5 Any other information


There is no other information to be disclosed.

B. SYSTEM OF GOVERNANCE

B.1 General information on the system of governance

B.1.1 Organizational structure

The organization structure as of 31st December 2025 is presented in the following diagram:



In 2025 there were no significant changes to the organizational structure. The Company's structure remained stable, as expected, to support efficient run-off operation management and its strategic objective.

B.1.2 Roles and responsibilities

• B.1.2.1 Board of Directors

The **Board of Directors** has responsibility for compliance with the legal, regulatory and administrative requirements pursuant to the Solvency II directive. The Board meets three to four times per annum with a standard agenda and on ad-hoc basis as many times as required and is comprised by the following members:

Board member	Status	Date of appointment/ duty renewal	Date of termination of duty
François JOSSE	Executive member and Chairman of the Board	4 August 2025	4 August 2028
Pierre GENTER	Non-executive member and Vice- Chairman of the Board	4 August 2025	4 August 2028
Stylianos KOULOUMPOS	Executive member, Managing Director and General Manager	4 August 2025	4 August 2028
Isaac DIAS DA CUNHA	Non-executive member	4 August 2025	4 August 2028
Antoine Hugues BERGONZAT	Non-executive member	4 August 2025	4 August 2028

The Board is responsible for the effectiveness of the system of risk governance, setting risk appetite and risk tolerance limits and approving the principal risk management policies for the Company as a whole. To this end, it determines the Company's strategy and approves its general organization, its system of risk governance and management, and its internal control framework. It ensures that these are appropriate for the nature, scale and complexity of operations and assesses them at regular intervals to guarantee sound and prudent management of the business. It is thus involved in understanding the principal risks incurred by the Company and in setting limits and is kept informed on a regular basis as to whether these are observed through relevant reporting process. It ensures that the system of risk governance introduced at Company level provides integrated, consistent, and effective management. Finally, the Board makes sure that an Own Risk and Solvency Assessment is performed at Company level.

The Board interacts with General Management and is responsible for key functions overseeing its stewardship, as well as with the heads of the key functions, who, of their own responsibility, keep the board informed of business trends and the results of the internal control system within the Company.

- **B.1.2.2 Committees under the responsibility of the Board of Directors**

- **B.1.2.2.1 Audit Committee**

The Audit Committee ensures the effectiveness of the Internal Audit Function operation as well as the Internal Control System, the compliance with regulatory requirements and the adequacy of the governance framework. In 2025, the Committee performed its duties in accordance with its Regulation in accordance with the regulatory requirements.

- **B.1.2.2.2 Ad-hoc Committees**

The Board may decide to set up committees responsible for considering specific matters falling within its jurisdiction. Such committees operate under its responsibility. Nevertheless, none is currently operating.

- B.1.2.2.3 General Management

The Company's General Management, represented by the CEO **Mr. Stylianos Kouloumpos**, sets the operational arrangements for implementation of the strategy decided upon by the Board of Directors and reports to the latter on its actions. The CEO supervises and oversees operation of the risk governance system put in place within the Company, proposes strategic priorities to the Board and signs-off policies that the Board officially approves of the Company at large. The CEO establishes effective decision-making procedures, an organizational structure clearly stating reporting lines, assigns internal control roles and responsibilities and allocates adequate resources.

In addition, the CEO is directly involved in the organization and operation of the risk management and control and internal control systems and ensures that risk management strategies and limits are compatible with the financial position (level of own funds, earnings) and strategies set for the Company. The CEO makes sure that key information about the Company is reported regularly and correctly documented, the main system failings are identified, and remedial measures implemented and interact appropriately with the committees implemented within the Company, and particularly the key function holders.

• B.1.2.3 Structure operating under the responsibility of General Management

On a day-to-day basis, the Company is run by the General Management with the support of the management team through an unofficial Management meeting. The Management meeting chaired by the CEO is the Company's body responsible for:

- Identification of the strategic priorities for the Company,
- Key strategic decisions brought before the BoD,
- Analysis and decisions on cross-functional issues,
- Pass on to group level the solo priorities that have an impact on the Group,
- Ensure that Group decisions are transposed at entity level.

Below we present the structure of the 4 key functions operating under the overall responsibility of General Management with a global reporting line for all significant items to BoD for their consideration and final validation.

• B.1.2.4 Key functions

The Company, in line with the Solvency II framework has set up the 4 key functions which consist of Risk Management, Actuarial, Compliance and the Internal Audit function which are briefly presented in the sections below.

- B.1.2.4.1 Risk Management function

Roles and responsibilities

The Risk Management function aims to meet the Company's following goals:

- Possessing a risk management framework and risk management strategy,
- Implementing a risk management system (detection, measurement, control, management and reporting),
- Meeting the steering and communication needs,
- Meeting requests for analysis of the risks originating from the various parties involved.

The roles and responsibilities of the Risk Management function, which from the second quarter of 2023 is outsourced for strategic reasons, are managed by the Risk Management function holder who reports directly to the CEO and Board of Directors and functionally to the Head of Risk Management Function of the Group's International Business Line are as follows:

- Implements a set of insurance risk management guidelines within the Company.
- Organizes and coordinates the business line in cooperation with the RCPR of Group's International Division,

- Analyses and manages risks at the level of the Company using appropriate risk management tools (risk dashboard, risk mapping and internal control reports),
- Implements risk monitoring/management tools in line with the guidelines from the Group,
- Represents the Company in respect of the risk management function vis-vis regulators,
- Supports cross-functional risk management projects from a business perspective (Risk Governance workstream for Solvency II implementation).

Organization of the function and relationship with other divisions

The Company's Risk Management function incorporates the following updated structure:

- The Group International Business Line Head Risk Management Function
- The Appointed Risk Management Holder (outsourced).
- The Risk Officer (local).
- Committees operating under the responsibility of the governance bodies,
- Organization of the relationship between Group and the Company through the local Internal Control Committee coordinating the risk management business line at Company level.
- Company's risk management system under the supervision of the Group RCPR.

- B.1.2.4.2 Actuarial function

Roles and responsibilities

The Actuarial function is formed by the local actuarial department, the Appointed actuary (externally outsourced) and the Group International Business Line Actuarial team. The Actuarial function is under the responsibility of the local Appointed Actuary (external) who reports directly to the General Management and the Board of Directors. It is organized in accordance with the requirements of the Solvency II framework.

The mission of the actuarial function is to ensure the reliability and adequacy of technical provisions to inform the Board about it. To express an opinion of the overall underwriting policy and to contribute to the effective implementation of the risk-management system with respect to the risk modelling underlying the calculation of the capital requirements. The Company's Actuarial function formally records all its work and findings in an annual actuarial report for submission to the BoD.

Organization of the function and relationship with other divisions

The Company's Actuarial Function provides input for the Risk Management Function in accordance with the Group's standards. In general, the function is responsible for:

- forming an opinion on reserving policy, on underwriting policy and reinsurance arrangements. Ensuring that these are consistent with the Solvency II requirements.
- annual external and internal reporting on the above.
- contributes to the effective implementation of Risk Management System.

Since 2023 and as the result of the structural changes performed then and the enforcement of significant reporting requirements prescribed by the application of the new IFRS17 standards, the Company retains the additional externalization of actuarial operational tasks mainly focusing on Solvency II and IFRS 17 calculations.

- B.1.2.4.3 Compliance function

Roles and responsibilities

The Compliance function, overseen at local level by the Responsible Function Holder who is external, and functionally reporting to the Company's CEO and Board of Directors, aims to protect the Company against the risk of non-compliance with the law, regulations and internal standards of the Crédit Agricole

S.A. Its organizational principles are predicated on the organizational principles of the Crédit Agricole S.A. Compliance business line.

The principal goals for the Company's compliance function are to:

- Implement consistent and clear cooperation between the Company, the CAA Group and the Crédit Agricole Group,
- Incorporate compliance risk in the scope covered by the Company as part of its operational activities. As with the other risk factors, the goal is to obtain an integrated view of the compliance risks and to demonstrate that they are under control at Company level,
- Facilitate sharing of the best practices applicable more specifically to the Insurance business for the prevention of compliance risks,
- Monitor the compliance risks specific to the Company level and ensure the mitigation, in particular the contagion and reputational risks at Group level,
- Handle the financial communications associated with compliance risks,
- Represent the Company vis-vis the local regulator.

Organization of the function and relationship with other divisions

The Company's compliance function is organized based on:

- Committee operating under the responsibility of CEO,
- The Head of the company's compliance function (outsourced),
- CAA's Group Head of Compliance Function,
- Compliance Officer (local)
- Organization of the relationship between the Group and the entities through a coordination committee,
- Company's compliance risk management system.

In fact, the Company's Head of Compliance works under the functional authority of Group's Head of Compliance Function, who is then reporting to the Head of Credit Agricole Group Compliance.

Since 2023, the Responsible of Compliance Function has been outsourced for strategic reasons.

- B.1.2.4.4 Internal Audit function

Roles and responsibilities

The Internal Audit function is under the responsibility of the Group's Internal Audit supervisor, who reports directly to the General Management and Board of Directors and acts as a third-level control across the entire Company which aims to:

- Provide the governance bodies with reasonable assurance that the risk management and control systems are functioning properly,
- Ensure compliance with the external and internal regulations,
- Assess the security and effectiveness of the operational procedures,
- Check the adequacy of the measurement and monitoring frameworks for risks of all kinds and verify the reliability of accounting data.

The duties performed ensure the compliance of operations, conformity with procedures, control and level of risks actually incurred (identification, logging, oversight, hedging) or assess the quality and effectiveness of the system put in place.

These duties help to provide the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Board of Directors with a professional and independent opinion on the Company's operations and internal control.

Organization of the function and relationship with other divisions

The Company's internal audit function is built around:

- The Credit Agricole S.A. Group General Inspection
- The Insurance Audit Division (DAA) at group level

- Organization of the relationship between Group and the Company through the Risk Management and Internal Control Committee
- Group internal audit framework

Maintaining its independence from the operational units, the central internal audit team is based in France (DAA). Crédit Agricole Assurances' Head of Internal Audit has a hierarchical reporting line to the Head of Credit Agricole S.A. Group General Inspection (accordingly to the organization defined by Credit Agricole S.A. Group Internal Audit) and reports to the Credit Agricole Assurances' CEO (functional reporting line). The Head of Internal Audit also regularly interacts with the Company's CEO to discuss internal control issues.

B.1.3 Material changes in the governance during the year

During the 2025 financial year, as part of the Compliance Management System and in relation to AML, the Company appointed a new responsible person and notified all relevant authorities, including the Bank of Greece.

B.1.4 Remuneration policy

- **B.1.4.1. General principles, objectives and governance framework**

- **B.1.4.1.1 General principles**

The Company's remuneration policy is directly integrated with the Group remuneration policy laid down by the Company's General Management.

- **B.1.4.1.2 Objectives**

The Company follows a responsible remuneration policy that strives to promote its values in a manner that is fair for all stakeholders—employees, customers and shareholder. It aims to reward individual and collective performance over the long term.

Considering the specific characteristics of its business lines, the local legislation, the Company aims to develop a remuneration system providing employees with rewards in line with the relevant benchmarks in their reference markets in order to attract and retain the talent that the Company needs. Remuneration is linked to individual performance always in relation to the collective performance of the Company.

- **B.1.4.1.3 Governance**

The Company's remuneration policy and practice are also covered by the Group governance framework. Each year, the Company makes all the relevant information available through its Finance & Accounting Department and the CEO to Group's International Division General Management to get its official validation. If needed, the remuneration details (salary increases and bonus scheme) for the Risk & Compliance responsible are notified to the Head of Group Compliance for the final approval with the scope to ensure appropriate remuneration in relation to the risks undertaken.

- **B.1.4.2 Board of Directors and General Management**

- **B.1.4.2.1 Board of Directors**

No remuneration or fee is paid to members of the Board of Directors for undertaking their duty and participating in the Boards meetings.

- **B.1.4.2.2 Chief Executive Officer**

The general principles outlined below in relation to the Company's remuneration policy also apply to the CEO. Decisions on any changes to remuneration and on the level of bonus are made by the head of International of the Group following an annual performance review in relation to the achievement of

qualitative and quantitative objectives as well as considering the Company results and those of the Group.

- **B.1.4.3 Senior executives & Employees**

- **B.1.4.3.1 Senior executives**

Individual variable remuneration

Division managers or other essential employees may be entitled to extra benefits based on their positions, which support them in fulfilling their essential role and responsibilities.

- **B.1.4.3.2 Employees**

Employee rewards consist of the following items:

- Basic salary
- Bonus scheme
- Ancillary benefits (Group Life Insurance cover etc)

Bonus scheme

All employees may be eligible for an annual performance bonus either as per their employment contract or upon Management decision. Within the framework stipulated in employment contracts, the bonus scheme is determined by the Company's Management in its sole discretion.

As a general principle, individual bonuses will reflect both the Company's and individual employee's performance. Individual performance will be assessed by measuring employees' achievements towards the goals set at the beginning of the year as part of their annual evaluation.

B.1.5 Material transactions with persons of significant influence

There have been no material transactions with shareholders, members of the management body, or any other persons with significant influence on the undertaking in the reported period.

B.1.6 Material transactions with related parties

The Company's related parties include:

- a) the ultimate parent Credit Agricole SA, the entities controlled, jointly controlled or significantly influenced by it,
- b) the Company's key management personnel, their close relatives, and the entities controlled or jointly controlled by the above persons; and
- c) the affiliates and other related companies of the Credit Agricole SA Group.

For the year 2025 and 2024 the relevant transactions are listed below:

<i>Amounts in K Euros</i>	CREDIT AGRICOLE	Group CREDIT AGRICOLE companies	Total
31/12/2025			
Receivables		0	0
Current accounts		10	10
Securities	205	8.298	8.503
Liabilities	-	-	-
1/1-31/12/2025			
Purchases of securities	-	21.786	21.786
Sales of securities	-	21.874	21.874
Revenues			
Interests	7	31	38
Other Income	-	0	0
Total Income	7	31	38
Expenses			
Other expenses	-	77	77
Total expenses	-	77	77

All transactions with related parties are carried out on substantially the same terms as those applicable to similar transactions with unrelated parties and do not involve a higher-than-normal level of risk.

B.2 Fit and proper requirements

B.2.1 Regulatory requirements

• B.2.1.1 Regulatory fitness requirements

The Company in line with the group standards and respective policy "Fit & Proper policy for the Crédit Agricole Group's insurance companies" which comply with the regulatory prescriptions has formed its management bodies and key function ensuring the following main principles are met:

- i) Key persons possess the adequate professional qualifications, knowledge and experience to fulfil their duties related to the Company's sound and prudent management (fit)
- ii) Key persons possess good reputation and integrity (proper).

- B.2.1.1.1 Collective fitness of the Boards of Directors

Collective fitness is assessed based on all the qualifications, knowledge and experience of its members. It reflects the various duties allocated to each of these individual members to ensure appropriate diversity of qualifications, knowledge and relevant experience. The goal is to guarantee that the undertaking is managed and supervised in a professional manner.

- B.2.1.1.2 Individual fitness of the effective managers and key function-holders

In specific, the Company has chosen the persons in the relevant positions of the five areas of competence set out in Solvency II, namely insurance, management, finance, accounting and actuarial, based on the following main criteria:

- a) the statutory requirements for specific positions qualifications, e.g. head of accounting has a license as 1st class accountant and the head of actuarial department possesses a certificate as an actuary from the competent Ministry,
- b) their relevant academic qualifications and

- c) the working experience such persons possess during their professional life and or within the Company or the Group

- **B.2.1.2 Regulatory appropriateness requirements**

- **B.2.1.2.1 Principles**

Appropriateness is assessed by ensuring that everyone has not been convicted of an offence related to money-laundering, corruption, misappropriation of corporate assets, drug trafficking, tax fraud, personal bankruptcy, etc. Individuals' reputation and integrity are also considered in the assessment.

- **B. 2.1.2.2 Unfit persons**

Individuals who are convicted of such an offence must leave office within one month of the court's definitive ruling.

- **B.2.1.2.3 Evidence**

Evidence is required to support appropriateness and consists, at the very least:

- certifications of no criminal convictions through the copy of the person's criminal record and
 - certification of non-bankruptcy

- **B.2.1.2.4 Regulatory requirements to notify the supervisory authority**

The supervisory authority must be notified of all active effective managers and key function-holders and of all appointments and reappointments. The Company's Compliance Function is responsible to identify the required information for preparing notifications submitted to Bank of Greece. Integral part of such documentation is a dedicated questionnaire which should accompany the above documents for the appropriateness certifications.

B.2.2 Arrangements for assessing fitness

- **B.2.2.1 Individual fitness**

The assessment is based predominantly on the experience gained (current duties, previous appointments, etc.), and the assessment principles adopted reflect:

- For effective managers and key function-holders: the assessment of their skills, in all five areas for effective managers and in their particular area of responsibility for key function-holders, which will be based on their qualifications, previous appointments, experience, training attended, which will be presented in detail in the submissions sent to the Bank of Greece in respect of the duties they perform within an insurance company.
 - For directors: the assessment of their fitness in all five areas, which is based on their qualifications, previous appointments and experience and authorisations in the management role they perform.

- **B.2.2.2 Collective fitness**

The collective fitness of the Board of Directors is assessed based on consideration of all directors' individual skills. The qualifications, appointments and experience should all be brought to bear and the level of competence in the five areas required by the Solvency II Directive verified to establish and offer training plans for directors.

• **B.2.2.3 Training plan**

The results of the skills evaluations are analysed to determine the training plans that need to be implemented.

- Effective managers and key function-holders: upon their appointment and depending on the needs identified, training plans may be arranged and followed by effective managers and key function-holders on an individual basis
- Directors: the training plan proposed is identical for all the members of the same board. Even so, points may be focused on at the request of one director, either backing up the collective training plan or taking place in sessions arranged specially for one individual.

B.2.3 Arrangements for assessing appropriateness

The Company's Compliance Function must furnish proof of the appropriateness of directors, effective managers and key function-holders and supply documentary evidence that they have not received judicial or criminal convictions or penalties.

To this end, the relevant certifications recorded above have been requested from all directors, effective managers and key function-holders, which have been received to prove their appropriateness.

B.3 Risk management system including the own risk and solvency assessment

B.3.1 Risk management framework

The CA Life's risk management system is part of a common and unified framework established in the Company's risk strategy and in the operating principles of the Insurance risk management business line at group level. As such this system has a matrix structure, with entity-level organisation on one axis and the Group approaches by type of risk on the other.

To make sure it meets its objectives, the Company is responsible for its own risk strategy control and monitoring framework for the various risks to which it is exposed through implementation of the relevant risk strategy (including financial risks, technical risks and operational risks management) and in particular the corresponding aggregate limits and early warning triggers. The risk strategy is reviewed at least on an annual basis, submitted for approval by the Company's Board of Directors, following its formulation by the Risk Management Function with the support of the Actuarial Function and the sign-off by the Company's General Management. The Company's Risk Management Function monitors whenever a limit is exceeded, or a warning level breached together with the relevant corrective measures taken and he is responsible for the escalation of the matters as appropriate to the Company's CEO and or the group's Risk Management Function. Changes to any aspects of the risk strategy require the approval of Board of Directors after seeking the opinion of Group's Risk Management Division (DRG).

The Company's Head of Risk Management Function is responsible for overall supervision of the risks. Working closely with internal Risk Officer under the supervision of CEO and with the support by the Group, integrates in the Company's risk management system the risk measurement, monitoring and control processes and frameworks within his/her area of responsibility, in compliance with the Group's standards and operating rules.

Under the authority of the CEO, financial risk management is steered by the Risk Management Function in co-operation with the Financial Committee operation. S/he keeps up to date the CA Life's Financial Risk Management framework, which consists of risk management policies and ensures its distribution to the asset management operation entrusted to Amundi, the asset management company of the Crédit Agricole S.A. Group, and Crédit Agricole Assurances Group's Investment Department for its compliance in relation to the investment strategy.

Other types of risk are also handled from a Company perspective, drawing on its combined expertise, coordinating cross-functional measures (information systems security and business continuity planning, for example) and at the very least ensuring best practices shared by the Group are integrated in the Company's system.

A quarterly Risk dashboard, produced including standardised risk management indicators, is used to monitor the Company's risk profile and detect any deviations from it and the Company's risk strategy. To this end, the Company's financial risks and compliance with the relevant consolidated limits are monitored monthly using standardised reporting.

To tighten up the Company's risk monitoring, at least bi-annual Internal Control committee, elaborates on all risk management aspects within the Company risk management framework. All decisions concerning the risk mitigating processes and appropriate corrective action plans are validated and monitored through this committee to ensure their adequacy and timely completion. Summary of the works and decisions of the ICC are competently notified to the Board of Directors for its overview and validation.

B.3.2 Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)

The Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA) is organised at Company level and on a consolidated basis at Group level. It is overseen by the Risk management function, with a special contribution from the Actuarial function, and is predicated on the existing risk management framework (Risk Strategy in particular).

The ORSA approach is embedded in the Company's procedures and involved in decision-making process at three levels:

- At strategic level: submission for approval to the governing body of the strategic priorities and the risk appetite framework (approved annually by the BoD), with reference to the solvency, profitability and value objectives, translated into the Risk Strategy in the form of risk tolerance limits.
- At steering level through synchronisation with the Medium-Term Plan process.
- At operational level, via allocation studies, pricing, etc. incorporating ORSA economic criteria.

CA Life's ORSA is carried out annually but may be updated during the year in the event of a major change in the environment or risk profile. It is kept updated using calculations and information produced at solo level based on use of the standard formula, the overall consistency of which is safeguarded by the reference guidance framework established by the Group:

- Forward-looking ORSA guidelines setting out key points of methodology.
- Group ORSA scenarios are applied at Company level and established in line with the Group consolidated risk profile. However, the Company may apply additional scenarios capturing significant risks at its level and not reflected in Group's scenarios.
- A set of common indicators shared at group level used as input for the minimum common base of the Company's ORSA risk dashboard and thus facilitating assessment of the risk profile at its level, the aggregation of the indicators and their analysis.

Accordingly, for the 2025 ORSA exercise, which covers the three regulatory assessments of overall solvency needs, continuous compliance and the appropriateness of the standard formula assumptions for the Company's risk profile, the forward-looking scenarios are primarily focused on the key financial risks affecting the Company, including risks not fully captured by the standard formula. The scenario set comprises a Central scenario and three adverse scenarios: (i) a Budgetary Stress scenario combining severe market stress with an inflation surge, (ii) an Increase in Rates scenario with a sharp and persistent rise in interest rates, widening spreads and an equity market downturn alongside inflation stress, and (iii) a "U-shaped" Low Rates scenario with a prolonged decline in rates followed by a sharp rebound. Based on the ORSA results, the Company demonstrates strong resilience across all scenarios and projection periods, with solvency ratios remaining comfortably above requirements as presented in the ORSA report.

B.4 Internal control system

Internal control is defined as a set of measures implemented to keep a grip on activities and risks of any kind to which the entity is exposed, ensuring compliance (with the regulations), security and efficiency of operations and of Information Systems.

The Company makes sure that there is an adequate internal control framework in place organised along the lines of the following common principles:

- integrated coverage of participants' activities, roles and responsibilities, with the Company's General Management directly involved in the organisation and operation of the internal control framework;
- clear definition of tasks, effective segregation of commitment and control functions, decision-making processes based on formal and up-to-date delegations of authority;
- formal and up-to-date standards and procedures, especially from an accounting perspective;
- control system consisting of permanent controls embedded in the processing of operations (1st line) or performed by operational staff who did not set in motion the operations being controlled (2nd line – 1st level) or by dedicated staff (2nd line – 2nd level), and periodic controls (3rd line) performed by Internal Audit Function under the functional supervision of the Group's General Inspection;
- notification of the management body reviewing the risk strategy and monitoring its application (including the limits set and their use), the results of internal control and implementation of the relevant measures as part of a corrective approach.

The permanent control plan is built around a local control plan consisting of controls geared to the criticality of processes and the most significant risks identified in the risk mapping, level 1, 2.1 (set with the process managers) and 2.2, and a level 2.2 key control framework established by the Crédit Agricole S.A. Group Risk Management Division, covering the quality and smooth operation of the risk monitoring and control framework.

Two distinct process participants ensure the consistency and efficiency of the internal control framework and compliance with these principles, right across the entire scope of the Company's internal control framework:

- The Company's Risk Management holder, who is responsible for both permanent control and risk management and compliance function at Company's level, reporting to the Group's Risk Management and Compliance Functions and the CEO.
- Periodic control (internal audit), which acts across the entire company's internal control scope (including the Risk Management and Permanent Control, and Compliance functions), based on an operating method governed by an Audit Charter.

Regular exchanges also take place with internal audit during the preparation of assignments and, at the reporting stage, with the findings and recommendations issued forming the basis for action plans and used, as appropriate, to update the risk mapping.

The Compliance function handles mainly the Compliance areas covered at Crédit Agricole S.A. Group level by the FIDES corpus applicable to Insurance and more specifically those applicable to its own activities. It transposes the guidelines, set up in the FIDES corpus, into operational procedures and establishes a permanent control plan to ensure that the procedures are complied with the guidelines and control the compliance risks detected during the risk mapping process and included in the risk register. Coordination of the compliance measures also includes training, employee and management information initiatives, plus preparation of compliance notices.

B.5 Internal audit function

B.5.1 General principles

The Internal Audit function conducts its activities in accordance with the Internal Audit Policy approved in 2025 by the Board of Directors of the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group. This policy – firmly embedded in the framework laid down in the Solvency II Directive – is reviewed on an annual basis. It also complies with the principles and standards laid down by the Crédit Agricole Group's Audit-Inspection business line (LMAI).

The Internal Audit function has operated centrally since 2010 within Crédit Agricole Assurances' Internal Audit Division (hereafter called "DAA" - Direction de l'Audit des Assurances). It has 37 employees in Paris and also draws on LMAI's methodological resources and standards. DAA covers the entire scope of the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group's internal control perimeter.

B.5.2 Role of the Internal Audit function

DAA is responsible for performing the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group's Internal Audit function as defined in the Solvency II Directive and "Periodic control" as defined in Article 17 of the French decree of 3 November 2014 modified by the decree of 25th February 2021. DAA conducts off- and on-site audit assignments in order to cover all the entities, activities, processes and functions falling within the scope of the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group's internal control perimeter in France and across the international subsidiaries (no "sanctuaries"). It also encompasses governance and the activities of the three other key functions defined in the Solvency II Directive. Lastly, it also extends to the outsourcing of services or of critical or important operational functions as defined in the French decree of 3 November 2014 modified by the decree of 25th February 2021 and EBA¹ guidelines on outsourcing arrangements.

The annual audit plan is prepared using a risk-based approach. It also employs a risk mapping across the full breadth of activities and the entire system of governance, as well as expected changes in the activities. Both the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group and each of its subsidiaries individually are involved in its design. At these levels (Group and subsidiaries), it gives rise to the formulation of a multi-year audit plan providing an extensive review of activities over a period not exceeding 5 years (reviews may be more frequent, depending on the risk assessment). The audit plan is reviewed annually by the Audit and Accounts Committee for approval by the Board of Directors.

The duties performed by DAA represent assurance rather than advisory duties as defined by the professional standards. They aim to ensure the risk management system and internal control system are both appropriate and effective. This specifically covers:

- accurate risk measurement and proper risk management and control at the activities conducted by the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group (identification, recording, control, hedging),
- appropriate and effective control measures to ensure the reliability and accuracy of financial information, management and operation of the domains audited, in accordance with the framework of standards and procedures in force,
- proper implementation of the remedial measures formulated (including after assignments by the Supervisory Authorities or by the Crédit Agricole Group's General Inspection),
- assessing the quality and efficacy of the organisation's general operations.

They can thus provide the administration, management or supervisory body (AMSB) members of the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group or of its entities and the Crédit Agricole Group's Audit-Inspection business line with an independent professional and objective opinion on the operations, risk management system and internal control system of the Crédit Agricole Assurances Group entities.

B.6 Actuarial function

The actuarial function is organised in accordance with the regulatory requirements of Solvency II. It ensures the coordination and the management of the function and is based on the principle of subsidiarity: each entity of the Group organises its actuarial function based on its own specific features and according to the expectations of local regulators. In that sense, the Actuarial function is required to:

- coordinate the calculation of technical provisions,
- ensure the appropriateness of the methodologies and underlying models used as well as the assumptions made in the calculation of technical provisions,
- assess the sufficiency and quality of the data used in the calculation of technical provisions,
- compare best estimates against experience,
- inform the Board of the reliability and adequacy of the calculation of technical provisions,
- oversee the calculation of technical provisions in cases where there is insufficient data quality,
- express an opinion on the overall underwriting policy,

¹ European Banking Authority

- express an opinion on the adequacy of reinsurance arrangements, and
- contributes to the effective implementation of the risk-management system, with respect to the risk modelling underlying the calculation of the capital requirements and to the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment.

B.6.1 Actuarial work during the year

The main work produced by the actuarial function in the reporting are outlined below:

- i) Production of the quantitative solvency reports (QRTs) on a quarterly basis and annual basis,
- ii) Performed sensitivity analysis on the financial aspects of the SCR calculations,
- iii) Performed the quantitative calculations for the prospective solvency needs under the ORSA process and contribute to the drafting of the ORSA report validated by the BOD,
- iv) Performed the LAT exercise to and produce the report in relation to the adequacy of the technical provisions for the annual financial statements,
- v) Performed an ALM analysis to value any mismatches between liabilities and assets cashflows and define the next year's investment objectives to adequately meet the company's liquidity and income needs.
- vi) Technical Analysis and budget determination of the accelerated run-off plan.

In addition, the function prepares the actuarial report, which is presented to the Board of Directors. The report's goal was to:

- lay out in detail the conclusions about technical provisions,
- providing a "second pair of eyes" scrutinising the underwriting policy, making sure that the Company has defined and implements an appropriate underwriting policy,
- providing a "second pair of eyes" scrutinising the reinsurance policy,
- contributing to the risk management system (contribution to ORSA processes, risk appetite, capital requirement, etc.),

The Actuarial function also introduces action plans to address observations made by the statutory auditors on last year's solvency audit review and ensures that they are followed up.

B.7 Outsourcing

B.7.1 General principles and objective of the Group's outsourcing policy

The outsourcing:

- Establishes what is considered as falling under the outsourcing heading, especially regarding Solvency II obligations,
- Establishes criteria used to classify an Outsourced Essential Activity (OEA) based on the Solvency II framework, and in line with the group guidelines as prescribed by the FIDES Policy,
- Lays down the general principles and main stages that apply to the outsourcing process in line with the Group outsourcing strategy integrating EBA guidelines,
- Identifies the associated responsibilities,
- Outlines the monitoring and control arrangements for outsourcing.

B.7.2 Scope

The outsourcing policy governs all aspects of the Company's outsourcing, no matter which division decides to make use of it. The policy consists of a set of guidelines applying to the entire Group as described in the Compliance Policy.

B.7.3 Group–Company relations

The outsourcing policy follows the Group policy and is adapted by the Company's Head of Risk and Compliance to fit the Company's respective area of responsibility. The Company's outsourcing policy is approved by the Company General Management as part of its own governance process and is presented to the Board of Directors.

The Group acts as a coordinator, formulating common principles to supplement the outsourcing policy. This aims to ensure a unified approach at Group level to the establishment of qualification criteria for a new service (the qualification criteria for "critical or important" activities) and for monitoring joint services daily.

B.7.4 Critical or important outsourced activities

The Company outsources five (5) critical or important activities:

- **Amundi** (the Crédit Agricole S.A. Group's asset management company) has been entrusted with a management agreement for its investment portfolio: it is a leading asset manager in France and Europe, providing a full range of products across all asset classes and the principal currencies. The Risks Committee is held monthly to monitor the risks arising from outsourced activities. Other committees have also been set up, including one bringing together the investments division with the portfolio managers to track the various asset classes.
- **DIV/CAA** is the Department of Investments of the Group which has been outsourced to provide investment solicitation and to be the liaison between the Company and the asset manager within the asset management structure operated for Company. A Financial Committee is held monthly to elaborate on the investment activities and performance of the portfolio as well as to monitor any deviation from the risk limits framework and propose possible risk mitigation actions to the General Management and the BoD.
- **Lux Actuaries & Consultants** provides actuarial, risk and compliance services and has been outsourced to assign the Appointed Actuary, Risk and Compliance Officer at Company level as part of the essential functions structure operated by the Company.
- **The Group's Audit Division** provides Internal Audit services and has been assigned the responsibility of the internal audit function at local level exercising the right given by the Solvency II regulation.
- **PRIORITY QUALITY CONSULTANTS S.A.** provides GDPR services and has been assigned the responsibility of the Data Protection Officer (DPO) at local level exercising the duties prescribed by the GDPR regulation.

B.8 Any other information


There is no additional information to be disclosed.

C. RISK PROFILE

The risk profile of Crédit Agricole described later in this section is based on the major risk identification process, which is the tool for identifying and assessing the risks to which the company is exposed. This risk profile is used as the basis for the calculation of the capital requirement of the Company and will be analysed later in Section E of the report.

In line with the Company business profile, the principal risks – market and life underwriting (mainly through expense and lapse risk) – arising from the predominant existence of the savings and pension activities, are covered by the standard formula. This also covers the other technical-underwriting risks to which exposure is also material for the Company as well as operational risks. Risks that are not represented in the standard formula are, like all the risk factors identified, managed and monitored to provide an early warning for the governance body should deviation from the usual management framework be observed, or may even be analysed by means of stress scenarios (liquidity risk, sovereign issuer spread risks, reputational risks, etc.).


The Company's risks exposure² is mainly composed of underwriting risks (69%) and equally importantly market risks (23%) as well as to a much lesser extent Operational risk (6%) and Counterparty default risk (2%).



C.1 Underwriting risk

In terms of underwriting risk, the main contributor refers to the Insurance with Profit participation LoB which at the end of 2025 represents 84% of the total Best Estimate Liabilities followed by the Other Life LoB.

² Risks exposure is defined as BSCR before diversification net of the loss absorbing capacity of technical provisions and integrating the operational risk



Through its Savings business, the Company is exposed mainly to expenses and at a lower level to mortality. In Death & Disability and creditor insurance, the Company has exposure to biometric risks (mortality & disability). The “catastrophe” risk linked to a mortality shock (pandemic, for example) would be likely to affect the results of the individual or group death & disability business.

The Life underwriting risk represents the largest risk to which the Company is exposed and accounted for 69% of the Company’s total risks exposure at year-end 2025. The change from the previous year (decrease of around 600K) is mainly due to the expenses risk impact and the run-off.

C.1.1 Exposure to underwriting risk

As the result of the run-off operational mode, the Company is mainly exposed to expenses and, at much lower level, to biometrical risk like mortality. Nevertheless, due to its exposure to the group credit life product, the weight of biometrical risks (mortality/ longevity) and catastrophic is high. For this reason, the company is covered with reinsurance on this line of business.

C.1.2 Principal risk management/mitigation techniques

The central management process to capture and manage technical risks is based on:

- Monitoring report of experience to identify change in the risk profile; monitoring reports on surrenders and quarterly monitoring of the technical results through the risk dashboard.
- Studies and stress exercises to analyse the sensitivity of the Company in extreme scenarios in terms of solvency needs and other technical aspects like liquidity and asset/liability mismatches. Such study is the Asset Liability Management analysis that is produced on an annual basis, and it is an integrated part of the Financial Policy of the Company
- The Company, in line with Solvency II specifications, has formalized and adheres to dedicated risk policies, namely Reserving & Underwriting Risk Policies.

C.1.3 Principal type of concentration

The exposure to the savings portfolio, which includes both a guaranteed feature as well as the profit-sharing component, is the highest amongst the Lines of Business operated by the Company, both in terms of written premiums amounting around 63% of the total annual premiums for 2025 (72% in 2024), as well as in technical provisions which are also levelling up to 74% (76% in 2024) of the total technical provisions.

As the result of the above exposure, the main concentration amongst the sub-risks of the underwriting risk module is related to the risk of lapses on the savings portfolio.

C.1.4 Sensitivity

The main underwriting risk incurred by the Company is the expense risk which due to the run-off operational status has become the most significant risk factor. Sensitivity to this factor is captured in budget exercise and is closely monitored to ensure that appropriate management actions are in place to mitigate this risk. In addition, lapse risk is also an important contributor to u/w risk due to its exposure to the significant weight in terms of liabilities in interest rate sensitive products (guaranteed with profit participation). Sensitivity to this risk is tested indirectly in sensitivity testing on the assets (bond yield curve). Due to company's run-off situation no additional sensitivity analysis has been performed in the specific risk domain.


C.2 Market risk

Due to the importance of savings portfolio in the business, market risks are one of the main exposures. Within the market risks, the Company is most sensitive to shocks in credit spreads and at the lower level in interest rates based on its asset allocation. Considering the diversification of the investments the main risks can arise from:

- Interest rate risk
- Equity risk
- Spread risk
- Concentration risk
- Counterparty risk, from both the default risk and the trends of the spread reflecting issuer risk.

C.2.1 Risk Exposure

The decision of the shareholder to manage the run-off in the long run led to an update in financial policy of the Company with a new strategic asset allocation focusing on the fixed interest assets, taking advantage of the rise in interest rates in 2023 for the new investments. As such the Company's investment asset portfolio at the end of 2025 contains mainly fixed rate assets (almost 89% of total assets SII value) out of which 15% corporate bonds and 75% government bonds. The residual part of the portfolio includes Money Market funds at 11%.



As illustrated in the diagram above, at year-end 2025, the market risk is derived almost evenly between concentration risk (39%), spread (31%) and interest rate (30%) risk due to the large allocation in bonds.

C.2.2 Principal risk management/mitigation techniques

The Company has a prudent investment policy. It is based on analyses produced by the Investment management structure in place comprised by the Investment Department of the Group and Amundi, the

Asset Manager of the Credit Agricole S.A. Group, which consider the company's risk appetite framework and information from external sources (financial institutions, asset managers, rating agencies). The various risk management and mitigation techniques described below are also based on this principle.

Furthermore, systems are in place to prevent conflicts of interest and ensure a reliable procedure for vetting new types of investment.

- **C.2.2.1 Spread risk**

The spread risk – and its trends reflecting this risk – is controlled through limits set on the allocation of issues within the various rating brackets. For 2025 the spread risk amounts to € 608 K.

The analysis of issuer risk is carried out by the Risk department of Amundi (asset manager) in cooperation of the Risk Department of CA S.A. Group. The findings of these portfolio reviews feed the Group list of restricted issuers (DND list) which is then communicated to asset management team and the Company for monitoring and actions. Counterparty risk and the evolution of the spread used in the evaluation of this type of risk are monitored through limits on the allocation of issuers in different rating levels. The risk limit framework which incorporates all constraints used at the investment and asset management process is included in the Financial Policy.

On top of the above and in relation to the established risk appetite framework, the Company has defined a global risk budget to cover the default risk or the risk of a loss in the event of disposals.

- **C.2.2.2 Interest-rate risk**

The Company can address sustained upward or downward movements in interest rates in various ways. Such actions could entail:

- asset portfolio duration adjustments to match the expected run-off of liabilities,
- retention of cash or marketable fixed-income assets with a modest impact on capital gains and losses.

The Company, as the other entities of Crédit Agricole Assurances' group has indicators and committees to monitor these techniques. For 2025 the interest rate risk amounted to € 598 K.

- **C.2.2.3 Diversification asset risk**

Overall limits are set for diversification assets and individual limits for each asset class (equities, property, private equity and infrastructure, alternative investments). These assets, which although likely to generate better returns and provide decorrelation, involve a valuation and accounting provisioning risk (impairment provision, with potential impact on policyholder returns). Nevertheless, in 2025 there was no exposure to diversification assets.

- **C.2.2.4 Concentration risk**

The risk of concentration on a single (financial or non-financial) counterparty is controlled by limits (based on total in force portfolio value) on total fixed-income and equity exposures structured according to the issuer's rating.

Concentration on sovereign and related issuers is subject to individual limits reflecting the debt to GDP ratio and country rating, with controls applying on a case-by-case basis to sovereign issuers from peripheral euro-zone countries.

In addition to the reporting produced by the asset manager, the company monitors the performance in relation to this risk limit framework through monthly reporting on financial risks which is notified to the relevant committee to take the necessary corrective measures in case any breach of the limits occurs.

C.2.3 Concentration

Taking these management measures into account, the concentration SCR (€ 764K) accounts for 39% of the market SCR has been increased in comparison to last year due to the run-off operational status of the company which caused a reduction in the diversification of the investment assets within the investment portfolio.

C.2.4 Sensitivity

Stress scenarios for financial risks are drawn up on annual basis within the ORSA process which provide a forward-looking vision over the planning horizon of the solvency requirement, including the dividend pay-out and financing assumptions underpinning the plan.

In the 2025 ORSA, the scenarios incorporating stress factors for the medium-term period 2026-2028 include:

- **Stress budget** – Sharp drop in risky assets in a medium high interest rate and spreads environment
- **High rates environment** - High IR with drop in equity market and widening of the spreads plus stress on inflation.
- **Low rates environment** – Low rates for the MTP horizon and a significant increase afterwards, while the equity increase levels remain moderate

In the evaluated scenarios, the company's eligible own funds are shaped by the interplay of savings value, asset performance, and the profit and loss impact reflecting the balance between expenses and business performance. Following the conclusion of the acceleration plan and the shortening of the liability duration, in all scenarios the solvency ratio shows a stable uplift to strong levels due to the impact of releasing of expenses aligned with run-off operation.

C.3 Credit risk

C.3.1 Risk exposure

The credit risk which is not captured through the spread risk submodule is evaluated through the counterparty default risk (Type 1 & Type 2). This risk represents a minor risk for the Company, accounting for 1% of the total risk exposure at year-end 2024 at the same level as previous reporting period, amounting to €67 K.

The exposure derives from:

- Bank deposits/cash holdings (bank running the deposit account default on its obligations).
- Reinsurance counterparties (default by a reinsurer resulting in its no longer being able to cover the cost of a portion of the claims presented to it).

C.3.2 Principal risk management/mitigation techniques

• C.3.1.1 Financial counterparties

Cash is not generally left in current accounts but invested in money market mutual funds to avoid significant capital charge due to counterparty risk.

• 3.1.2 Reinsurance counterparties:

Within the framework of management of underwriting risk, the Company uses reinsurance agreement with several counterparties. The main treaties refer to i. Risk and Protection coverages including analogic coverages of individual and group products (Individual and Group Surplus) and ii. reinsurance coverage of catastrophic risk (Catastrophe Treaty).

Tight control on reinsurers' default risk is founded on the Group's internal standards, according to which the financial strength of the reinsurers selected: A- or higher (based on a conservative approach of using the lowest financial strength rating awarded by S&P, Moody's and Fitch). The ratings of the reinsurers with which the Group deals are monitored on a quarterly basis.

C.3.3 Concentration

With respect to the counterparty default risk the main concentration refers to the cash deposits in Greek banks. Nevertheless, this situation is managed through the financial policy according to which any liquidity available from inflows is directed to money market funds managed by Amundi to avoid the excessive capital charges related to the high default risk of the Greek banking system.

C.4 Liquidity risk

C.4.1 Risk exposure

The liquidity risk is highly linked to the level of surrenders of savings in combination with the premium income. This risk has become even more significant in the last years due to the run-off situation of the Company. The Company has already incorporated in its Financial Policy the component of liquidity in both the Strategic Asset Allocation through specified minimum exposure in Money Market instruments and in the risk framework through the reactivity ratio limits (which measures the ability to use assets with limiting impacts in terms of capital charges).

C.4.2 Principal risk management/mitigation techniques

On the one hand, liquidity is an investment selection criterion (more on listed securities in regulated markets, limited on less liquid assets such as, for example, private equity, internal rated bonds, hedge funds etc.).

On the other hand, the liquidity management system is defined as part of the Company's asset / liability management process.

The Company manages and mitigates its liquidity risk through three mechanisms:

- Long-term liquidity: monitoring of and limits on annual liquidity gaps, estimated on a portfolio run-off basis to match asset and liability maturities under normal and stressed conditions (wave of surrenders/deaths).
- Medium-term liquidity: calculation of a "2-year responsiveness" indicator, which measures the capacity to mobilise short or floating-rate assets while limiting the impacts in terms of capital loss. It is compared with a minimum threshold required to absorb a wave of surrenders.
- Short-term liquidity: in case of uncertainty regarding net inflows, minimum one-week and one-month liquidity amounts are set and surrenders monitored weekly.

The Company analyses its liquidity gaps to identify maturities to be addressed in priority or, conversely, avoided (surplus liquidity falling due, with an interest rate risk upon reinvestment). It monitors its responsiveness ratio monthly and compares it with the threshold set, through its reporting dashboard.

C.4.3 Sensitivity

Liquidity risk is an important component in the ALM analysis to ensure that investment strategy is adequate to accommodate increased liquidity needs with the scenario of double surrenders rate applied on the savings portfolio. The Company is exposed to liquidity risk in case of an increase in lapse and the interest rates. Nevertheless, at this stage, the liquidity bucket remains sufficient to cover the gap. The Asset-Liability Management (ALM) analysis indicates potential short-term liquidity requirements to fund increased policy lapses expected from the acceleration plan though management actions (e.g. asset allocation) are in place to mitigate any potential short-term gap.

C.5 Operational risk

C.5.1 Risk exposure

Operational risk, calculated according to the methodology of the standard formula as a standard rate on the activity amounted to € 293 K in 2025 which is similar with the previous year profile.

From a process execution perspective, the most sensitive risk areas are linked to intermediation risk, the production of financial and accounting information, with a major emphasis on data quality and fraud risk (contracts, claims). Another material risk exposure refers to the IT systems sustainability and assurance against malfunctions and external attacks. Adding to this, in case of information security incident, the ability of the company to restore systems and data to resume operability is also a crucial component of the operational risk exposure.

Compliance risks (identified primarily in the customer, product and commercial practices category) also represent a major point of emphasis from a reputational risk perspective, possibly even triggering sanctions, against the backdrop of a growing number of increasingly stringent regulations. The main themes relate to efforts to combat money laundering and terrorist financing (international sanctions), customer protection (complaint handling). The Company has paid much attention in the past to control the distribution of its products by its banking network, especially since unit-linked products were made the priority.

C.5.2 Principal risk management/mitigation techniques

The management process includes the following contributors:

- Risk mapping analysis which at minimum is updated on an annual basis. Any risk that following the risk mapping analysis is considered significant is then subject to an action plan.
- Data Loss Collection report to monitor the (financial) impact of the realised operational incidents. This is monitored on a quarterly basis through the risk dashboard.
- Warning procedure which incorporates the levels of consideration and the process of escalation when alerts materialize.
- Business Continuity and disaster recovery plans to ensure the readiness of the company against IT related risks (cyber risks) or others which could compromise its operability.

All the above is subject to a follow-up process through the Internal Control Committee (ICC) and dedicated Permanent Controls reporting on a quarterly basis.

C.5.3 Sensitivity

The Company does not apply a sensitivity-based approach for operational risks.

The impact of operational risks is measured in terms of image or financial impacts via operational risk mapping. This helps to identify critical processes carrying substantial risks and the action plans needed to enhance the degree of control they provide.

C.6 Other material risks

C.6.1 Risk exposure

• C.6.1.1 Reputational risk

The Company's exposure to reputational risk is mainly related to product design and marketing and treatment of customers (demands and complaints).

- Mishandling of conflicts of interest (between two customers, between the Company and a customer, etc.)
- Problems relating to the business line (ill-suited products, non-compliant invoicing, "forced" sales, etc.)
- Legal or tax issues
- Shortcomings in human resources management
- Incorrectly applied market rules
- Sanctions by regulatory and oversight bodies
- Money laundering, embezzlement, and fraud

C.6.2 Principal risk management/mitigation techniques

The Company has incorporated its compliance manual and follows procedures, in line with the guidelines of the CASA as presented in the existing Corpus FIDES. These procedures constitute the risk management system through which the Company ensures the mitigation of the reputational risk. Such procedures are:

- Compliance function organization and Opinions
- Complaints Handling
- Fraud Prevention
- Personal Data Protection
- New products and activities (through the relevant NAPCo)
- Financial Security (AML/FT)

For the Company to detect and prevent any situation that may cause reputational risk to itself and consequently to the Group it applies the following processes:

- Analyses media coverage, websites, etc. where reputational risk might originate
- Has appointed the responsibility of communications issues to the CEO as the only responsible for responding to questions from the press
- Uses of legal advisor's and the compliance officer opinions to all requests from regulators and oversight bodies
- Addresses in the NAPCO reputational risk issues and ascertain the implementation of a control system towards them

• C.6.1.2 Risk of changes in the regulatory environment

The monitoring activities conducted by the Legal and Compliance functions on regulatory changes, which are also backed up by the Crédit Agricole Group's watch, are used to predict the likely impact and to prepare for the changes that they may cause.

C.7 Any other information

There is no additional information to be disclosed.

D. VALUATION FOR SOLVENCY PURPOSES

Reporting date

CA Life's Economic Balance Sheet is prepared in compliance with article 75 of Solvency II Directive. It is drawn up on 31st December.

Valuation principle

The Company values its assets and liabilities and calculates its technical provisions in accordance with Chapter F, Sections 1 and 2 of Law 4364/2016 and Chapter VI, Sections 1 and 2 of Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. The following sections present the Company's balance sheets prepared in accordance with IFRS and Solvency II, with the adjustments and reclassifications between them, which are analysed in the context of providing the information necessary for their valuation.

Currency transactions

CA Life reporting currency is EURO, which is also the currency in which the Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared.

Offsetting of assets and liabilities

In accordance with paragraph 4 of Article 9 of the Delegated Regulation, the Company can offset the DTA with DTL. In general, the Company offsets a financial asset and liability and shows a net balance if and only if it has a legally enforceable right to set off the amounts recognised and has the intention to settle net or realise the asset and liability simultaneously. The Company applies the same rule under Solvency II framework.

Use of estimates and judgement of expert

The valuations needed to prepare the financial statements may require the use of estimates, assumptions and judgements which carry risks and uncertainties as to whether they will be realised. They form the basis for management to use the requisite judgement to determine the carrying amount of assets and liabilities that cannot be obtained directly from other sources.

Future events may be influenced by numerous factors, including:

- national and international capital markets activities,
- economic and political conditions in certain sectors of activity or countries,
- changes in the regulations or legislation,
- policyholder behaviour,
- demographic changes.

D.1 Assets

Table presenting assets in Solvency II and IFRS values

Assets	Statutory accounts value	Reclassifications	Revaluations	Solvency II Value 2025	Solvency II Value 2024
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred acquisition costs	0	-	-	-	-
Intangible assets	76	-	76	-	-
Deferred tax assets	-	-	-	-	-
Pension benefit surplus	-	-	-	-	-
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	372	-	-	372	454
Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked funds)	66.146	-	-	66.146	86.139
Property	-	-	-	-	-
Participations	-	-	-	-	-
Equities	-	-	-	-	-
Bonds	58.892	-	-	58.892	78.926
Investment funds	7.255	-	-	7.255	7.214
Derivatives	-	-	-	-	-
Deposits other than cash equivalents	-	-	-	-	-
Other investments	-	-	-	-	-
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked funds	14	-	-	14	31
Loans & mortgages (except loans on policies)	-	-	-	-	-
Ceded technical provisions	-	2.215	1.021	1.194	1.013
Deposits to cedants	-	-	-	-	-
Insurance & intermediaries receivables	254	-	15	239	336
Reinsurance receivables	2.655	2.215	-	440	583
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	203	-	-	203	291
Own shares	-	-	-	-	-
Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in	-	-	-	-	-
Cash and cash equivalents	79	-	-	79	60
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	-	-	-	-	-
Total Assets	69.799	-	1.112	68.686	88.906

The main variations between IFRS and Solvency II accounts refer to the following:

- i) Intangible assets are eliminated under Solvency II
- ii) Under Solvency II the ceded technical provisions are valued on the basis of the reinsurers' share of claims and the future best estimate of recoverable reinsurance amounts. In addition, under Solvency II, reinsurance assets are presented net of reinsurance liabilities, whereas under IFRS they are valued on the basis of the present value of future cash flows associated with reinsurance liabilities.
- iii) Policyholders' balances are less under Solvency II due to the elimination of amounts with over 180 days delay by the company for prudent reasons.

The main contributor of the movement between 2024 and 2025 (from € 89,906 K to € 68,686 K) is mainly the decrease of the investment portfolio (from € 86,139 K to € 66,146 K).

D.1.1 Intangible assets and deferred expenses

Intangible assets are identifiable non-monetary assets without physical substance. Software is a type of intangible asset.

The Company's main intangibles is software. The Company has invested in various software to promote its day-to-day business.

- **D.1.1.1 Intangibles (including goodwill)**

Intangible assets are valued at zero on the economic balance sheet. Intangible assets (other than goodwill) may, however, be recognised on the economic balance sheet at a non-zero value where:

- they are identifiable
- the undertaking can obtain the future economic benefits from these assets, and
- they have value available in an active market.

In this case only, they are stated at fair value on the economic balance sheet.

Restatements between the local (IFRS) balance sheet and the economic balance sheet are as follows:

- elimination of other intangible assets, unless they can be valued at fair value based on observations in an active market.

The Company does not have goodwill exposure.

D.1.2 Property, plant and equipment

Property held for own use consists of land, buildings, and fixtures and fittings used by the Company during its business, i.e. producing or supplying goods and services, administrative tasks. It is defined by opposition to investment properties which could be held by the undertaking to generate returns and a capital gain when they are sold again.

Improvements to third party properties have been considered to have a zero valuation under Solvency II. The remaining assets that are owner-occupied are presented at fair value which is estimated to approximately the recognized value under IFRS.

The Company does not hold any property for own use nor as an investment property.

D.1.3 Financial instruments

The Company's investment portfolio is reported in the financial statements on a Solvency basis and is valued at the fair value of the financial instruments of which it is comprised and does not differ from the IFRS valuation.

The Company does not carry any participation and did not enter into repos or securities lending transactions.

- **D.1.3.1 Investments linked to unit-linked contracts**

As in the IFRS, these investments are shown on a separate line of the economic balance sheet. They are valued at fair value.

D.1.4 Technical provisions ceded

The valuation rules for technical provisions ceded are presented in technical provisions section below.

D.1.5 Deferred taxes

Deferred taxes are recognised and valued in the economic balance sheet in line with IFRS. The Company is in a run-off situation so the DTA from the PSI loss was not presented in the economic balance sheet. In addition, the Company didn't present any deferred taxes for Solvency II purposes on a line by line basis on temporary differences between EBS and tax base amounts due to the continuation of the run-off operation mode again in 2025 and for undetermined period of time, as well as due to the

change in the tax law (ministerial circular POL1059/2015) according to which the income from bonds is not taxed.

D.1.6 Cash and cash equivalents

On the economic balance sheet, cash and cash equivalents are stated at fair value.

Cash comprises cash on hand and demand deposits. Cash equivalents consist of short-term deposits that are readily convertible to a known amount of cash. For the company this account comprises only cash and sight deposits.

No revaluation takes place between the local (IFRS) balance sheet and the economic balance sheet.

D.2 Technical provisions

D.2.1 Summary of technical provisions

Summary of the technical provisions under Solvency II

The Total Technical Provisions as of 2025 are presented below:

Technical Provisions	Statutory accounts value	Revaluation of technical provisions	Reclassification	Solvency II value
Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)	57.162	615		57.778
Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linked	14		1.948	1.962
Other technical provisions				-
Total technical provisions	57.177	615	1.948	59.740

With respect to the change from IFRS, the main factor relates to the reclassification of the outstanding maturities of contracts linked to investment assets or indices amounting to € 1,948 K which under IFRS are shown under Payables due to their valuation under IFRS9.

In the table below we present the constitution of the total TP per LoB:

(K€)	2025			
	Insurance with profit participation	Index-linked and unit-linked insurance	Other life insurance	Total
Gross BEL	49.307	1.962	7.626	58.894
Ceded BEL			1.194	1.194
Net BEL	49.307	1.962	6.432	57.700
Risk Margin	845	1	0	846
Total Technical provisions excl reins recoverables	50.152	1.962	7.626	59.740

(K€)	2024			
	Insurance with profit participation	Index-linked and unit-linked insurance	Other life insurance	Total
Gross BEL	66.944	3.194	7.841	77.979
Ceded BEL	0	0	1.013	1.013
Net BEL	66.944	3.194	6.828	76.966
Risk Margin	1.179	1	0	1.180
Total Technical provisions	68.123	3.195	7.841	79.159

The year-to-year movement of the Gross BEL (from € 76,966 K in 2024 to € 57,700K in 2025) is mainly due to the accelerated run-off situation. In addition, the technical provisions above include the amount of € 532 K which refers to the unmodelled liability portfolio.

D.2.2 Valuation principles

The value of technical provisions under Solvency II is the sum of the Best Estimate (BE) of the provisions plus a risk margin (RM).

The BE gross of reinsurance is calculated as the present value of probability-weighted future cash flows arising from payouts to policyholders and management costs incurred in administration of these commitments through to their maturity, less any premium receivable under portfolio contracts.

The Risk Margin is the provision amount in addition to the Best Estimate, calculated in such a way that the total amount of provisions shown on the balance sheet matches that which a benchmark entity would require to meet the insurer's obligations (cost of capital approach). The RM is calculated directly net of reinsurance.

Accordingly, Solvency II provisions differ from statutory provisions in that cash flows are discounted systematically, and options and financial guarantees are valued (guaranteed rates, profit participation, surrenders, etc.).

D.2.3 Segmentation

The assignment of an insurance obligation to a line of business reflects the nature of the risks arising from the obligation. The legal form of the obligation is not necessarily determinative of the nature of the risk.

Where a policy covers insurance obligations in several lines of business, the assignment to each line of business is not required if only one of the lines of business is material.

D.2.4 Initial recognition

Obligations are recognised based on the insurer's obligation, either because a contract has been signed or because the contract cannot be ceased by the insurer.

D.2.5 General valuation principles

• D.2.5.1 Valuation – Cash flows

The BE gross of reinsurance is calculated as the present value of probability-weighted future cash flows arising from payouts to policyholders and management costs incurred in administration of these commitments through to their maturity, less premiums receivable under portfolio contracts. The projection horizon of the cash flows used by the company is 30 years.

The cash flow projections are predicated on assumptions concerning policyholders' behaviour and management actions. These assumptions cover in surrenders, the profit participation policy and the asset allocation policy.

All these assumptions are documented by the actuarial function and approved by the Company management.

• D.2.5.2 Valuation – Granularity of projections

Contracts are analysed on a policy-by-policy basis, then pooled into homogeneous risk groups for modelling purposes. The segmentation is defined on a product basis to value technical provisions.

• D.2.5.3 Valuation – Contract boundaries

The boundary date of the contract is defined as the first date on which:

- The insurer has the unilateral right for the first time to terminate the contract,
- The insurer has the unilateral right for the first time to reject premiums, or
- The insurer has the unilateral right for the first time to amend premiums or guarantees in such a way that the premiums fully reflect the risks.

The premiums paid after the boundary date of an insurance/reinsurance contract and the associated obligations are not considered when calculating the Best Estimate.

Irrespective of the previous provisions, no future premium is taken into account in the calculation of the Best Estimate where a contract:

- Does not provide for indemnification of an event adversely affecting the policyholder to a material extent,
- Does not provide a material financial guarantee.

Future premiums on insurance-related products are recognised as:

- Multi-year contracts under which the insurer does not have the right to amend the premium, refuse it or terminate the contract prior to expiry of the contract,
- For annual renewable risk contracts, periodic premiums will be projected through to the policy's first anniversary following the valuation date of the BE.

Future premiums on savings products are projected using the annual premium paid for each policy in the previous period and a premium reduction rate, based on BE lapse assumptions, to be applied on an annual basis to the amount of the premium in the previous period.

• **D.2.5.4 Valuation – Participation in profit**

Profit participation (PP) is incorporated in the cash flows modelled to value the Best Estimate of provisions.

The PPs modelled comply with the regulatory and contractual constraints and are subject to strategic assumptions reviewed by management of The Company.

• **D.2.5.5 Valuation – Options and guarantees**

Life insurance contracts include options and financial guarantees. The value of the Best Estimate includes the impact of these options and guarantees where they have a material impact.

Stochastic modelling methods are used for this valuation.

The principal options valued by the Company are:

- The surrender option in savings and pension contracts
- The guaranteed minimum rates and technical rates
- The contractual profit participation clauses

• **D.2.5.6 Valuation – Expenses**

The cash flow projection used to calculate BE takes into account all the following expenses:

- Administrative expenses,
- Investment management expenses,
- Claims management expenses,
- Acquisition expenses.

General expenses incurred in servicing insurance and reinsurance obligations are considered.

The expenses incorporated in the cash flows include the whole expense base projected in the long term for the run-off horizon and represent the undertaking on an ongoing concern basis (i.e. assuming no new business in the future).

Expenses are allocated at the level of homogeneous risk using at the very least the lines of business (LoB) adopted in the segmentation of insurance obligations.

Exceptional expenses and any other justifiable restatement are not included in the determination of the expense base projection.

Administrative expenses are also adjusted by the inflation rate in the projection. Due to the run-off operational mode, no acquisition expenses occurred and therefore not considered in the valuation.

The level of commission payments used in the calculations reflects all the commission agreements in force at the valuation date. All contractual commission cashflows are modelled separately from the rest of the expenses.

• **D.2.5.7 Valuation – discounting**

The reference yield curve used to project and discount cash flows is based on the swap rates adjusted by credit risk plus a risk premium, where appropriate.

The risk premium is based on the Volatility Adjustment (VA) as defined by EIOPA. For each accounting period, the VA is set in line with EIOPA release for Greece.

The matching adjustment and other transitional measures proposed for Solvency II purposes on the yield curve are not used by the Company.

- **D.2.5.8 Valuation – Non-economic Assumptions**

The Company in the valuation of technical provisions uses non-economic assumptions which determination is derived using experience studies. Such assumptions are presented below as well as the methodology for their valuation:

- **D.2.5.8.1 Mortality assumption**

The Company to evaluate the underwriting effect on mortality rates conducts a study based on data at the reporting date (this effect is reflected in the model as a percentage of the Greek valuation mortality table GR1990). Based on this study and its trend over the past years, the Company defines its mortality assumption as the average experience over the last 3 years.

- **D.2.5.8.2 Disability assumption**

The disability assumption is determined based on the claims' experience of the past years on the relevant products. For this reason, the Company performs an analysis to assess the actual experience factor on the portfolio in comparison with the factor applied in the reinsurance rate pricing for the average insured person's age.

- **D.2.5.8.3 Lapsation**

A lapsation study is performed on annual basis per sub-portfolio and policy year based on data available as at the Reporting Date. To determine lapsation assumption, the Company uses (raw data) experience over the last years, and smooth results appropriately using Hoem methodology.

- **D.2.5.9 Transitional measures**

The Company for the valuation of its technical provisions under Solvency II at the reporting year as well as the whole preparatory used only the measure of the Volatility Adjustment (VA). For 2025, the level of the Volatility Adjustment as published by EIOPA is 14bp (vs 23bp in 2024).

Due to the value of the VA the company's Solvency position is materially reduced when the Volatility adjustment is cancelled, as presented in QRT S.22.01.01, impacting both the Own Funds and the SCR.

D.2.6 Risk margin

The Risk Margin is the cost of capital that would be tied up by a third party assuming the Company's obligations.

The Risk Margin is calculated by discounting the annual cost (risk premium) of tying up capital equivalent to the reference SCR as defined in the regulations over the residual term to maturity of the obligations used to calculate the BE. The cost of capital is set at 6% p.a. in accordance with Article 39 of the Delegated Regulation. Simplification method 3 is used for the calculation of Risk margin.

The Risk Margin is calculated as an overall figure, then broken down by Solvency II Line of Business. This analysis is carried out in proportion to the contribution made by the segment to the reference SCR.

D.3 Other Liabilities

Solvency II values for provisions other than technical provisions

K Euros	Statutory accounts value	Revaluation	Reclassification	Solvency II value
Contingent liabilities	-	-	-	-
Provisions other than technical provisions	-	-	-	-
Pension benefit obligations	32	-	-	32
Deposits from reinsurers	-	-	-	-
Deferred tax liabilities	-	-	-	-
Derivatives	-	-	-	-
Debts owed to credit institutions	-	-	-	-
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	216	-	-	216
Insurance & intermediaries payables	266	-	-	266
Reinsurance payables	-	-	-	-
Payables (trade, not insurance)	2.094	-	1.903	191
Subordinated liabilities	-	-	-	-
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	330	-	-	330
Total other liabilities	2.937	-	1.903	1.034

The main difference between the above items under Solvency II and IFRS is the inclusion the outstanding maturities (not yet paid) in the IFRS Payable account of which under Solvency II are included in the Technical Provisions as a whole.

D.3.1 Provisions and contingent liabilities

- **D.3.1.1 Provisions other than contingent liabilities**

Provisions for liabilities and charges are accounted for in the Solvency II balance sheet and mainly refer to risks such as litigation risk, operational losses etc. IFRS provisions are adopted for the economic balance sheet.

D.3.2 Employee benefit obligations

The amount of 31 K Euros refers to the accumulated provision for compensation of personnel due to retirement, which is estimated based on an actuarial study in accordance with the Company's accounting policy. The small increase in relation to the previous-year figure is coming from the maturing of the personnel team being stable over the past years.

D.3.3 Financial liabilities

The Company doesn't have any exposure to financial liabilities linked to medium-to-long term debt, i.e. subordinated debt and senior financing debt.

D.3.4 Other liabilities

With respect to any other liabilities the Company includes the following accounts:

- **Insurance & intermediaries' payables** which include the current account value for the payables to its distribution channel as at the end of the reporting date.
- **Reinsurance payables** which include the current account value for the payables to its reinsurer as at the end of the reporting date.
- **Payables (trade, not insurance)** which include mainly creditors and miscellaneous payments such current accounts of taxes and social security funds as at the end of the reporting date.
- **Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown** which include accrued expenses

D.3.5 Alternative methods for valuation

The Company doesn't use any alternative methods for valuation on both assets and technical provisions.

D.3.6 Any other information

There is no additional information to be disclosed.

E. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

E.1 Own funds

E.1.1 Capital management policy

The Company has implemented a policy for its own funds which are managed to respect the regulatory requirements over the long term and to ensure sufficient capital to cover future development needs, and own risks. It establishes the management, monitoring and control arrangements for own funds plus the financing process if required.

The policy is approved by the Board of Directors and reviewed on an annual basis. The capital management policy is part of CA Life's risk appetite framework.

To set the objectives to be pursued, the policies and the relating processes for Own Funds management, the Company has implemented a Capital Management Policy, in line with Crédit Agricole Assurances Group guidelines. This policy sets down the procedures to manage, monitor and classify the Company's own funds, as well as the Company's financing process.

The Capital Management Policy shall be approved by the Company's Board of Directors after being validated by the Internal Control Committee; the same approval procedure shall apply to every update to the policy, keeping track of all details of the changes made in the updated document.

The policy has been designed in accordance with the Group guidelines whereby consideration has been incorporated in relation to the regulations applicable for the insurance groups, the banking regulations, the regulations of financial conglomerates, the Credit Agricole S.A. Group's specific objectives and financial communication and market-related constraints. As such the company's own funds accommodate the following objectives:

- to comply with the regulatory solvency requirements,
- to comply with the Company's risk appetite, ensuring that the present and forward-looking capital adequacy is consistent with the set of risks overall taken by the Company,
- to optimize the structure of own funds, limiting the cost of financing and ensuring adequate financial flexibility.

The level of own funds relative to the capital required is geared to its risk profile, its insurance activity, the degree of maturity of its business, its geographical position and its size.

Every year, the Company's Capital management plan is approved by the Board of Directors as part of the process of steering own funds. This plan states the timetable for, and nature of the financial transactions anticipated in the current year and over the horizon of the medium-term plan (3 years).

It draws on the capital management plans and establishes any issuance of capital or/and subordinated debts and projects the impact of the maturity of own-fund items, the dividend policy, the end of the transitional measures and any other changes affecting own-fund items.

The Company follows the capital management plan and monitors any significant deviation. The Company's solvency coverage of the SCR and the MCR is reported to the Regulator and to the Group on a quarterly basis.

• E.1.1.1 Sensitivity analysis

The Company, as part of its capital management policy includes the sensitivity exercises conducted in the MTP horizon through its ORSA as well as on an annual basis through the financial sensitivities exercise described above in paragraph C.3.4. above.

E.1.2 Available own funds

• E.1.2.1 Composition of available capital

The Company covers its regulatory capital charge exclusively using Tier 1. All own funds items are denominated in Euros and reported in thousands below.

The amount of the Company's own funds in 2025 amounted to €7,912 K, consisting of share capital €14,100 K, share premium of €69,901 K and the other reserves including retained earnings of -€ 76,089 K. All own funds are deemed to be Tier 1 not restricted. The main contributor to the small decrease of the own funds from 2024 (€ 8,574 K) was mainly the expense's basis release in the accelerated run-off operational status partially offset by the negative adjustment of the expense basis in line with the MTP budget.


• E.1.2.2 Reconciliation reserve

The reconciliation reserve amounted to -€ 76,089 K on 31st December 2025 mainly consists of the IFRS reserves -€ 74,317 K and the revaluation of the technical provisions - € 3,585 K. The other components refer to the revaluation of assets (excluding technical provisions ceded) amounting to € 1,888 K and the elimination of intangibles at of € 76 K.

The main contributor to the decrease in comparison to the 2024 figure on the reconciliation reserve (-€94,627 K) is the change in Reserves and retained earnings IFRS to -€ 74,317 K from -€ 91,803 K.

• E.1.2.3 Reconciliation with IFRS equity

Differences between statutory own funds vs. Solvency own funds - FY2025
K€



The main factors accounting for the difference between IFRS equity and Solvency II available capital are mainly the revaluation of the technical provisions.

E.1.3 Eligible own funds

There is no difference between available and eligible own funds to cover the SCR and MCR on 31st December 2025. Both amounted to € 7,912 K.

E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement

E.2.1 Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR)

The regulatory capital requirement (SCR) is assessed by the Company in line with Group guidelines by applying the standard formula laid down in the Solvency II Directive. As provided in the regulations, the calculations used to arrive at the SCR are based on the instructions sent out by the Group ("Credit Agricole Assurances Group's SCR Guidelines"). It provided the principles governing implementation of

the solo calculations using the standard formula, drawing on the Solvency II and Omnibus II European Directives, as transposed to the local regulatory framework formed by the Department of Private Insurance Supervision of Bank of Greece.

Coherently with the data provided by EIOPA, the interest rate curve used for the valuation of Technical Provisions considers the Credit Risk Adjustment (CRA of -10 bps) and the Volatility Adjustment (VA of +14 bps). The Company's SCR amounted to € 3,632 K on 31st December 2025. Underwriting risk is the main risk contributing to 67% of the risk exposure; market risk and counterparty default contribute the remaining part.

Additional information in respect of the SCR:

- The Company does not use simplified calculations for the risk modules illustrated above.
- The Company does not use undertaking-specific parameters.

E.2.2 Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR)

The Company's minimum capital requirement (MCR) amounted to €4,000 K on 31st December 2025 equal to the Absolute floor of the notional MCR.

E.3 Use of the duration-based equity risk sub-module in the calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement

Not applicable.

E.4 Differences between the standard formula and any internal model used

The Company doesn't use internal model in its valuations.

E.5 Non-compliance with the Minimum Capital Requirement and non-compliance with the Solvency Capital Requirement

The company is compliant with the Minimum Capital Requirement and the Solvency Capital Requirement.

E.6 Any other information

There is no additional information to be disclosed

TRUE TRANSLATION FROM THE ORIGINAL IN THE GREEK LANGUAGE**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

To the Board of Directors of Crédit Agricole Life Insurance Single Member S.A.**Opinion**

We have audited the statements provided for by Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/895 as of 31 December 2025, which consist of the Solvency II Balance Sheet (template S.02.01.02), the Technical Provisions (templates S.12.01.02, S.17.01.02), the Own Funds (template S.23.01.01), as well as the Other Information (templates S.22.01.21, S.25.01.21, S.28.02.01), (hereinafter the “Supervisory Statements”), which are included in the attached “Solvency and Financial Condition Report” of the Company “Crédit Agricole Life Insurance Single Member S.A.” (hereinafter the “Company”) for the year ended 31 December 2025.

In our opinion, the Supervisory Statements as of 31 December 2025, included in the attached “Solvency and Financial Condition Report” of the Company for the year ended 31 December 2025, are prepared in all material respects, in accordance with the provisions of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/895 and the relevant provisions of Law 4364/2016, as well as the methodology described in Section “D” and in subsections “E.1” and “E.2” of the attached “Solvency and Financial Condition Report”.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) as these have been incorporated into the Greek legislation. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the section of our report entitled “Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Supervisory Statements”. We are independent of the Company in accordance with the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants’ Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (IESBA Code), as incorporated into the Greek legislation and the ethical requirements in Greece relevant to our audit and we have fulfilled our ethical requirements in accordance with the applicable legislation and the above mentioned Code of Ethics. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Emphasis of Matter – Basis of Preparation and Limitation of Use

We draw attention to Section “D” as well as subsections “E.1” and “E.2” of the attached “Solvency and Financial Condition Report”, which describe the regulatory provisions and the methodology for preparing the Supervisory Statements, which have been prepared with the aim of assisting the Company’s Management to fulfill its obligations under Law 4364/2016. As a result of the above, the Supervisory Statements and our Report thereon may not be suitable for any other purpose. This Report is intended solely for use by the Company’s Management in fulfilling its regulatory obligations to the Occupational and Private Insurance Supervision Directorate (O.P.I.S.D.) of the Bank of Greece, which may require the amendment or restatement of published reports of the Company or the publication of additional information, as well as the taking of other actions by its Management. Accordingly, this report should not be used by other parties.

Our opinion is unqualified on this matter.

Deloitte.

Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information. The other information is included in the “Solvency and Financial Condition Report” which is approved by the Board of Directors of the Company but does not include the Supervisory Statements under our audit and our Audit Report there on.

Our opinion on the Supervisory Statements does not cover the Other Information and we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon with this opinion.

In relation to our audit of the Supervisory Statements, our responsibility, in accordance with the Executive Committee Act 105/12.12.2016 of the Bank of Greece, is to read the other information in order to obtain a better understanding of the subject matter of our audit and, in doing so, to take into account those qualitative information included in the Solvency and Financial Condition Report that are related to the quantitative information in the audited Supervisory Statements.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Supervisory Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and presentation of the attached “Solvency and Financial Condition Report” in accordance with the requirements of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2023/895, the provisions of Law 4364/2016 and the methodology described in Section “D” as well as in subsections “E.1” and “E.2” of the aforementioned Report, as well as for those internal control that Management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of Supervisory Statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Company’s financial reporting process.

Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Supervisory Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Supervisory Statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs, as these have been incorporated into Greek legislation, will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these Supervisory Statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with ISAs, as these have been incorporated into Greek legislation, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.

We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the Supervisory Statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by Management.



Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Supervisory Statements - Continued

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We also provide those charged with governance with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence and communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to impair our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards applied.

Athens, 08 April 2026

The Certified Public Accountant

Pavlos Gkorogias

SOEL Reg. No. 53761

Deloitte Certified Public Accountants S.A.

3a Fragkokklisias & Granikou str. Marousi Athens GR 151-25 Greece

Reg. No. SOEL: E120

F.APPENDIX: QUANTITATIVE REPORTING TEMPLATES 2025

This appendix sets out the Quantitative Reporting Templates (QRTs) applicable to the Company:

S.02.01.02 -- Balance Sheet

S.05.01.02 -- Premiums, claims and expenses by line of business

S.05.02.04 -- Premiums, claims and expenses by country

S.12.01.02 -- Life and Health SLT Technical Provisions

S.22.01.21 -- Impact of long-term guarantees and transitional measures

S.23.01.01 -- Own funds

S.25.01.21 -- Solvency Capital Requirement - for undertakings on Standard Formula

S.28.02.01 -- Minimum Capital Requirement - Both life and non-life insurance activity

S.02.01.02	
Balance sheet	
	Solvency 2 value
	C0010
Assets	
Intangible assets	R0030 0
Deferred tax assets	R0040 0
Pension benefit surplus	R0050 0
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	R0060 372
Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)	R0070 66.146
Property (other than for own use)	R0080 0
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	R0090 0
Equities	R0100 0
Equities - listed	R0110 0
Equities - unlisted	R0120 0
Bonds	R0130 58.892
Government Bonds	R0140 44.008
Corporate Bonds	R0150 14.884
Structured notes	R0160 0
Collateralised securities	R0170 0
Collective Investments Undertakings	R0180 7.255
Derivatives	R0190 0
Deposits other than cash equivalents	R0200 0
Other investments	R0210 0
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	R0220 14
Loans and mortgages	R0230 0
Loans on policies	R0240 0
Loans and mortgages to individuals	R0250 0
Other loans and mortgages	R0260 0
Reinsurance recoverables from:	R0270 1.194
Non-life and health similar to non-life	R0280 0
Non-life excluding health	R0290 0
Health similar to non-life	R0300 0
Life and health similar to life, excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0310 1.194
Health similar to life	R0320 0
Life excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0330 1.194
Life index-linked and unit-linked	R0340 0
Deposits to cedants	R0350 0
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	R0360 239
Reinsurance receivables	R0370 440
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	R0380 203
Own shares (held directly)	R0390 0
in	R0400 0
Cash and cash equivalents	R0410 79
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	R0420 0
Total assets	R0500 68.686

	Solvency II value	
		C0010
Liabilities		
Technical provisions – non-life	R0510	0
Technical provisions – non-life (excluding health)	R0520	0
TP calculated as a whole	R0530	0
Best Estimate	R0540	0
Risk margin	R0550	0
Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)	R0560	0
TP calculated as a whole	R0570	0
Best Estimate	R0580	0
Risk margin	R0590	0
Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)	R0600	57.778
Technical provisions - health (similar to life)	R0610	0
TP calculated as a whole	R0620	0
Best Estimate	R0630	0
Risk margin	R0640	0
Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)	R0650	57.778
TP calculated as a whole	R0660	8.927
Best Estimate	R0670	48.005
Risk margin	R0680	845
Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linked	R0690	1.962
TP calculated as a whole	R0700	1.909
Best Estimate	R0710	53
Risk margin	R0720	1
Contingent liabilities	R0740	0
Provisions other than technical provisions	R0750	0
Pension benefit obligations	R0760	32
Deposits from reinsurers	R0770	0
Deferred tax liabilities	R0780	0
Derivatives	R0790	0
Debts owed to credit institutions	R0800	0
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	R0810	216
Insurance & intermediaries payables	R0820	266
Reinsurance payables	R0830	0
Payables (trade, not insurance)	R0840	191
Subordinated liabilities	R0850	0
Subordinated liabilities not in BOF	R0860	0
Subordinated liabilities in BOF	R0870	0
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	R0880	330
Total liabilities	R0900	60.774
Excess of assets over liabilities	R1000	7.912

S.05.01.02										
Premiums, claims and expenses by line of business										
		Line of Business for: non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations (direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance)								
		Medical expense insurance	Income protection insurance	Workers' compensation insurance	Motor vehicle liability insurance	Other motor insurance	Marine, aviation and transport insurance	Fire and other damage to property insurance	General liability insurance	Credit and suretyship insurance
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090
Premiums written										
Gross - Direct Business	R0110									
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120									
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130									
Reinsurers' share	R0140									
Net	R0200									
Premiums earned										
Gross - Direct Business	R0210									
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0220									
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230									
Reinsurers' share	R0240									
Net	R0300									
Claims incurred										
Gross - Direct Business	R0310									
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0320									
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0330									
Reinsurers' share	R0340									
Net	R0400									
Expenses incurred										
Balance - other technical expenses/income	R1200									
Total expenses	R1300									

		Line of Business for: non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations (direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance)			Line of business for: accepted non-proportional reinsurance			Total
		Legal expenses insurance	Assistance	Miscellaneous financial loss	Health	Casualty	Marine, aviation, transport	Property
		C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140	C0150	C0160
Premiums written								
Gross - Direct Business	R0110							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130							
Reinsurers' share	R0140							
Net	R0200							
Premiums earned								
Gross - Direct Business	R0210							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0220							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230							
Reinsurers' share	R0240							
Net	R0300							
Claims incurred								
Gross - Direct Business	R0310							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0320							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0330							
Reinsurers' share	R0340							
Net	R0400							
Expenses incurred								
Balance - other technical expenses/income	R1210							
Total expenses	R1300							

		Line of Business for: life insurance obligations					Life reinsurance obligations		Total
		Health insurance	Insurance with profit participation	Index-linked and unit-linked insurance	Other life insurance	Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to health insurance obligations	Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to insurance obligations other than health insurance obligations	Health reinsurance	Life reinsurance
		C0210	C0220	C0230	C0240	C0250	C0260	C0270	C0280
Premiums written									
Gross	R1410		2.114	-2	1.235				3.347
Reinsurers' share	R1420		0	0	1.422				1.422
Net	R1500		2.113	-2	-187				1.925
Premiums earned									
Gross	R1510		2.115	-2	1.236				3.350
Reinsurers' share	R1520		0	0	1.422				1.422
Net	R1600		2.115	-2	-185				1.928
Claims incurred									
Gross	R1610		17.185	22	389				17.596
Reinsurers' share	R1620		0	0	559				559
Net	R1700		17.185	22	-170				17.037
Expenses incurred									
Balance - other technical expenses/income	R1900		2.150	147	1.151				3.447
Balance - other technical expenses/income	R2510								
Total technical expenses	R2600								3.447
Total amount of surrenders	R2700		10.420	18					10.438

S.05.02.04								
Premiums, claims and expenses by country								
		Home Country	Top 5 countries (by amount of gross premiums written) - non-life obligations					Total Top 5 and home country
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070
	R0010							
		C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140
Premiums written								
Gross - Direct Business	R0110							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130							
Reinsurers' share	R0140							
Net	R0200							
Premiums earned								
Gross - Direct Business	R0210							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0220							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230							
Reinsurers' share	R0240							
Net	R0300							
Claims incurred								
Gross - Direct Business	R0310							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0320							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0330							
Reinsurers' share	R0340							
Net	R0400							
Expenses incurred	R0550							
Balance - other technical expenses/income	R1200							
Total expenses	R1300							
		Home Country	Top 5 countries (by amount of gross premiums written) - life obligations					Total Top 5 and home country
		C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180	C0190	C0200	C0210
	R1400							
		C0220	C0230	C0240	C0250	C0260	C0270	C0280
Premiums written								
Gross	R1410	3.347						3.347
Reinsurers' share	R1420	1.422						1.422
Net	R1500	1.925						1.925
Premiums earned								
Gross	R1510	3.350						3.350
Reinsurers' share	R1520	1.422						1.422
Net	R1600	1.928						1.928
Claims incurred								
Gross	R1610	17.596						17.596
Reinsurers' share	R1620	559						559
Net	R1700	17.037						17.037
Expenses incurred	R1900	3.447						3.447
Balance - other technical expenses/income	R2510							0
Total expenses	R2600							3.447
Total amount of surrenders	R2700	10.438						10.438

S.12.01.02

Life and Health SLT Technical Provisions

	Insurance with profit participation	Index-linked and unit-linked insurance		Other life insurance			Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to insurance obligation other than health insurance obligations	Accepted reinsurance	Total (Life other than health insurance, incl. Unit-Linked)	
		C0020	C0030	Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees	C0060				Contracts without options and guarantees
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010	6.795	1.909			2.133				10.836
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP as a whole	R0020					0				0
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM										
Best Estimate										
Gross Best Estimate	R0030	42.512		53	0	5.493	0			48.058
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0080	0				1.194	0			1.194
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0090	42.512		53		4.299	0			46.864
Risk Margin	R0100	845	1			0				846
Technical provisions - total	R0200	50.152	1.962			7.626				59.740
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)	R0370	0	0							

	Health insurance (direct business)			Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to health insurance obligations	Health reinsurance (reinsurance accepted)	Total (Health similar to life insurance)
	C0160	Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees			
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0210					
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP as a whole	R0220					
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM						
Best Estimate						
Gross Best Estimate	R0030					
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0080					
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0090					
Risk Margin	R0100					
Technical provisions - total	R0200					

S.22.01.21
Impact of long term guarantees and transitional measures

		Amount with Long Term Guarantee measures and transitionals	Impact of transitional on technical provisions	Impact of transitional on interest rate	Impact of volatility adjustment set to zero	Impact of matching adjustment set to zero
		C0010	C0030	C0050	C0070	C0090
Technical provisions	R0010	59.740	0	0	129	0
Basic own funds	R0020	7.912	0	0	-132	0
Eligible own funds to meet Solvency Capital Requirement	R0050	7.912	0	0	-132	0
Solvency Capital Requirement	R0090	3.632	0	0	100	0
Eligible own funds to meet Minimum Capital Requirement	R0100	7.912	0	0	-132	0
Minimum Capital Requirement	R0110	4.000	0	0	0	0

S.23.01.01		Total	Tier 1 - unrestrict ed	Tier 1 - restrict ed	Tier 2	Tier 3
Own funds		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
Basic own funds before deduction for participations in other financial sector as foreseen in article 68 of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35						
Ordinary share capital (gross of own shares)	R0010	14.100	14.100			
Share premium account related to ordinary share capital	R0030	69.901	69.901			
Initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own - fund item for mutual and mutual-type undertakings	R0040					
Subordinated mutual member accounts	R0050					
Surplus funds	R0070					
Preference shares	R0090					
Share premium account related to preference shares	R0110					
Reconciliation reserve	R0130	-76.089	-76.089			
Subordinated liabilities	R0140					
An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets	R0160	0				0
Other own fund items approved by the supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above	R0180					
Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds						
Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds	R0220					
Deductions						
Deductions for participations in financial and credit institutions	R0230					
Total basic own funds after deductions	R0290	7.912	7.912			0
Ancillary own funds						
Unpaid and uncalled ordinary share capital callable on demand	R0300					
Unpaid and uncalled initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual and mutual - type undertakings, callable on demand	R0310					
Unpaid and uncalled preference shares callable on demand	R0320					
A legally binding commitment to subscribe and pay for subordinated liabilities on demand	R0330					
Letters of credit and guarantees under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0340					
Letters of credit and guarantees other than under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0350					
Supplementary members calls under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0360					
Supplementary members calls - other than under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0370					
Other ancillary own funds	R0390					
Total ancillary own funds	R0400					
Available and eligible own funds						
Total available own funds to meet the SCR	R0500	7.912	7.912			0
Total available own funds to meet the MCR	R0510	7.912	7.912			
Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR	R0540	7.912	7.912			0
Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR	R0550	7.912	7.912			
SCR		R0580	3.632			
MCR		R0600	4.000			
Ratio of Eligible own funds to SCR	R0620	2,18				
Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR	R0640	1,98				
Reconciliation reserve		C0060				
Excess of assets over liabilities	R0700	7.912				
Own shares (held directly and indirectly)	R0710	0				
Foreseeable dividends, distributions and charges	R0720					
Other basic own fund items	R0730	84.001				
Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds	R0740	0				
Reconciliation reserve	R0760	-76.089				
Expected profits		R0770	0,00			
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Life business	R0770	0,00				
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Non- life business	R0780	0,00				
Total Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)	R0790	0,00				

S.25.01.21
Solvency Capital Requirement - for undertakings on Standard Formula

		Gross solvency capital requirement	USP	Simplifications
		C0110	C0090	C0100
Market risk	R0010	1.145		
Counterparty default risk	R0020	73		
Life underwriting risk	R0030	3.403		
Health underwriting risk	R0040			
Non-life underwriting risk	R0050			
Diversification	R0060	-747		
Intangible asset risk	R0070			
Basic Solvency Capital Requirement	R0100	3.874		
Calculation of Solvency Capital Requirement		C0100		
Operational risk	R0130	293		
Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions	R0140	-535		
Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes	R0150	0,000		
Capital requirement for business operated in accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC	R0160			
Solvency capital requirement excluding capital add-on	R0200	3.632		
Capital add-on already set	R0210			
Solvency capital requirement	R0220	3.632		
Other information on SCR				
Capital requirement for duration-based equity risk sub-module	R0400			
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for remaining part	R0410			
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds	R0420			
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for matching adjustment portfolios	R0430			
Diversification effects due to RFF nSCR aggregation for article 304	R0440			
Approach to tax rate				
			Yes/No	
			C0109	
Approach based on average tax rate	R0590		No	
Calculation of loss absorbing capacity of deferred taxes				
			LAC DT	
			C0130	
LAC DT	R0640			
LAC DT justified by reversion of deferred tax liabilities	R0650			
LAC DT justified by reference to probable future taxable economic profit	R0660			
LAC DT justified by carry back, current year	R0670			
LAC DT justified by carry back, future years	R0680			
Maximum LAC DT	R0690			

S.28.02.01
Minimum capital Requirement - Both life and non-life insurance activity

	MCR components	
	Non-life activities	Life activities
	MCR _(NL,NL)	MCR _(L,L)
	Result	Result
	C0010	C0020
Linear formula component for non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations	R0010	0

- Medical expense insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Income protection insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Workers' compensation insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Motor vehicle liability insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Other motor insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Marine, aviation and transport insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Fire and other damage to property insurance and proportional reinsurance
- General liability insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Credit and suretyship insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Legal expenses insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Assistance and proportional reinsurance
- Miscellaneous financial loss insurance and proportional reinsurance
- Non-proportional health reinsurance
- Non-proportional casualty reinsurance
- Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport reinsurance
- Non-proportional property reinsurance

Background information			
Non-life activities		Life activities	
Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance) w ritten premiums in the last 12 months	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance) w ritten premiums in the last 12 months
C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060
R0020			
R0030			
R0040			
R0050			
R0060			
R0070			
R0080			
R0090			
R0100			
R0110			
R0120			
R0130			
R0140			
R0150			
R0160			
R0170			

	Non-life activities		Life activities	
	MCR _(NL,NL)		MCR _(L,L)	
	Result		Result	
	C0070	C0080		
Linear formula component for life insurance and reinsurance obligations	R0200		2.013	

- Obligations w with profit participation - guaranteed benefits
- Obligations w with profit participation - future discretionary benefits
- Index-linked and unit-linked insurance obligations
- Other life (re)insurance and health (re)insurance obligations
- Total capital at risk for all life (re)insurance obligations

Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) total capital at risk	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) total capital at risk
C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120
R0210		48.772	
R0220		535	
R0230		1.962	
R0240		6.432	
R0250			125.605

Overall MCR calculation

	C0130
Linear MCR	R0300 2.013
SCR	R0310 3.632
MCR cap	R0320 1.635
MCR floor	R0330 908
Combined MCR	R0340 1.635
Absolute floor of the MCR	R0350 4.000
	C0130
Minimum Capital Requirement	R0400 4.000

Notional non-life and life MCR calculation

	Non-life activities		Life activities	
	C0140		C0150	
Notional linear MCR	R0500	0	2.013	
Notional SCR excluding add-on (annual or latest calculation)	R0510	0	3.632	
Notional MCR cap	R0520	0	1.635	
Notional MCR floor	R0530	0	908	
Notional Combined MCR	R0540	0	1.635	
Absolute floor of the notional MCR	R0550	2.700	4.000	
Notional MCR	R0560	2.700	4.000	



Legal information

Crédit Agricole Life Insurance SMSA. with capital 14,099,998.50 Euro
Head office: 25 Filellinon Str., 105 57 Athens